
CONTINUOUS KRISHNA-PARTHASARATHY ENTROPIC UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. K. R. Parthasarathy

K. MAHESH KRISHNA

Post Doctoral Fellow

Statistics and Mathematics Unit

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre

Karnataka 560 059, India

Email: kmaheshak@gmail.com

Date: May 15, 2024

Abstract: In 2002, Krishna and Parthasarathy [*Sankhyā Ser. A*] derived discrete quantum version of Maassen-Uffink [*Phys. Rev. Lett., 1988*] entropic uncertainty principle. In this paper, using the notion of continuous operator-valued frames, we derive an entropic uncertainty principle for arbitrary family of operators indexed by measure spaces having finite measure. We give an application to the special case of compact groups.

Keywords: Uncertainty Principle, Quantum measurement, Shannon Entropy, Parseval Frame, Operator-valued frame.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 81P15, 94A17, 42C15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Given an orthonormal basis $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$ for \mathcal{H} , the **(finite) Shannon entropy** at a point $h \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ is defined as

$$(1) \quad S_\tau(h) := - \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle h, \tau_j \rangle|^2 \log |\langle h, \tau_j \rangle|^2,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\tau := \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|h\| = 1, \langle h, \tau_j \rangle \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ [2]. In 1983, Deutsch derived following breakthrough entropic uncertainty principle for Shannon entropy [2].

Theorem 1.1. [2] (*Deutsch Entropic Uncertainty Principle*) Let $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be two orthonormal bases for a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then

$$2 \log n \geq S_\tau(h) + S_\omega(h) \geq -2 \log \left(\frac{1 + \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} |\langle \tau_j, \omega_k \rangle|}{2} \right), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_\tau \cap \mathcal{H}_\omega.$$

In 1988, Maassen and Uffink (motivated from the conjecture by Kraus made in 1987 [6]) improved Deutsch entropic uncertainty principle.

Theorem 1.2. [8] (*Maassen-Uffink Entropic Uncertainty Principle*) Let $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be two orthonormal bases for a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then

$$2 \log n \geq S_\tau(h) + S_\omega(h) \geq -2 \log \left(\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} |\langle \tau_j, \omega_k \rangle| \right), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_\tau \cap \mathcal{H}_\omega.$$

In 2002, motivated from the theory of quantum computation and quantum information, Krishna and Parthasarathy improved Maassen-Uffink entropic uncertainty principle [7]. To do so, first they introduced the notion of entropy for quantum measurements. Let $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a collection of orthogonal projections on a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P_j = I_{\mathcal{H}},$$

the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . The Shannon entropy of $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n$ at a point $h \in \mathcal{H}_P$ is defined as

$$(2) \quad S_P(h) := - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle P_j h, h \rangle \log \langle P_j h, h \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_P := \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|h\| = 1, P_j h \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Note that Equation (2) reduces to Equation (1) whenever P_j is the projection onto the span of τ_j for each j . Krishna and Parthasarathy made the following improvement of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. [7] (*Krishna-Parthasarathy Entropic Uncertainty Principle*) Let $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{Q_k\}_{k=1}^m$ be two collections of orthogonal projections on a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P_j = I_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{k=1}^m Q_k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \log n \geq S_P(h) + S_Q(h) &\geq -2 \log \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m} \frac{|\langle P_j Q_k h, h \rangle|}{\|P_j h\| \|Q_k h\|} \right) \\ &\geq 2 \log \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m} \|P_j Q_k\| \right), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_P \cap \mathcal{H}_Q. \end{aligned}$$

Krishna and Parthasarathy were able to give a beautiful application of Theorem 1.3 to finite groups using Peter-Weyl theorem [7]. They also extended Theorem 1.3 to positive operators. We are motivated by the following two questions.

- (1) What is the version of Theorem 1.3 for collection of operators which are not necessarily positive?
- (2) What is the version of Theorem 1.3 indexed by measure spaces?

Using the theory of frames, we are going to do both of these in the paper. We also give an application of our theorem to compact groups using Peter-Weyl representation theory.

2. CONTINUOUS KRISHNA-PARTHASARATHY ENTROPIC UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

In the paper, \mathbb{K} denotes \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} and \mathcal{H} denotes a Hilbert space (need not be finite dimensional) over \mathbb{K} . Given two Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_0 , the set of all continuous linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}_0 is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Given a measure space (Ω, μ) and a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , recall that

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}) := \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ is weakly measurable, } \int_{\Omega} \|f(\alpha)\|^2 d\mu(\alpha) < \infty \right\}.$$

Unlike the discrete case, we need the notion of frames to handle continuous case.

Definition 2.1. [1, 5, 11] Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0$ be Hilbert spaces. A family $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ of continuous linear operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is said to be a **continuous operator-valued Parseval frame** in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ if the following conditions hold.

CONTINUOUS KRISHNA-PARTHASARATHY ENTROPIC UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

(i) For each $h \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $\Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto A_\alpha h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ is weakly measurable.

(ii)

$$\|h\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|A_\alpha h\|^2 d\mu(\alpha), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

To proceed we need to generalize Definition 2.

Definition 2.2. Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0$ be Hilbert spaces. Given a continuous operator-valued Parseval frame $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$, we define the continuous Shannon entropy of $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ at a point $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$ as

$$(3) \quad S_A(h) := - \int_{\Omega} \|A_\alpha h\|^2 \log \|A_\alpha h\|^2 d\mu(\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_A := \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|h\| = 1, A_\alpha h \neq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Omega\}$.

Before deriving main theorem, we recall Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem which we are going to use.

Theorem 2.3. [10] (*Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem*) Let (Ω, μ) and (Δ, ν) be measure spaces and let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space. Let $1 \leq p_0, q_0, p_1, q_1 \leq \infty$. Suppose

$$T : \mathcal{L}^{p_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) + \mathcal{L}^{p_1}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_0}(\Delta, \mathcal{X}) + \mathcal{L}^{q_1}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})$$

is a linear operator such that both

$$T : \mathcal{L}^{p_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_0}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})$$

$$T : \mathcal{L}^{p_1}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_1}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})$$

are bounded linear operators. For $0 < r < 1$, define p_r and q_r as

$$\frac{1}{p_r} := \frac{1-r}{p_0} + \frac{r}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q_r} := \frac{1-r}{q_0} + \frac{r}{q_1}.$$

Then

$$T : \mathcal{L}^{p_r}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_r}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})$$

is a bounded linear operator and

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_r}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_r}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_0}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})}^{1-r} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p_1}(\Omega, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_1}(\Delta, \mathcal{X})}^r.$$

Following is the main theorem of this article which we would like to call continuous Krishna-Parthasarathy entropic uncertainty principle.

Theorem 2.4. Let (Ω, μ) and (Δ, ν) be finite measure spaces and let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0$ be Hilbert spaces. Let $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ and $\{B_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta}$ be continuous operator-valued Parseval frames in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then

$$\log((\mu(\Omega)\nu(\Delta))) \geq S_A(h) + S_B(h) \geq -2 \log \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} \|B_\beta A_\alpha^*\| \right), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_A \cap \mathcal{H}_B.$$

Proof. Define

$$T : \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) + \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0) + \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)$$

by

$$T : \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \ni f \mapsto Tf \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0); \quad (Tf)(\beta) := \int_{\Omega} B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*(f(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha), \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta,$$

$$T : \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \ni g \mapsto Tg \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0); \quad (Tg)(\beta) := \int_{\Omega} B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*(g(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha), \quad \forall \beta \in \Delta.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)}^2 &= \int_{\Delta} \|(Tf)(\beta)\|^2 d\nu(\beta) = \int_{\Delta} \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*(f(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha) \right\|^2 d\nu(\beta) \\ &= \int_{\Delta} \left\| B_{\beta} \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}^*(f(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha) \right) \right\|^2 d\nu(\beta) = \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}^*(f(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha) \right\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\| \leq 1} \left| \left\langle \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}^*(f(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha), h \right\rangle \right|^2 = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\| \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle f(\alpha), A_{\alpha} h \rangle d\mu(\alpha) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|f(\alpha)\|^2 d\mu(\alpha) \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} \|A_{\alpha} h\|^2 d\mu(\alpha) \right) = \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then for any $\beta \in \Delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Tg)(\beta)\| &= \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*(g(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha) \right\| \leq \int_{\Omega} \|B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*(g(\alpha))\| d\mu(\alpha) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*\| \|g(\alpha)\| d\mu(\alpha) \leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} \|B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*\| \right) \int_{\Omega} \|g(\alpha)\| d\mu(\alpha) \\ &= \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} \|B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*\| \right) \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|Tg\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)} \leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} \|B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*\| \right) \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)}.$$

Define

$$M := \sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} \|B_{\beta} A_{\alpha}^*\|.$$

Now applying Theorem 2.3 for the case

$$p_0 = q_0 = 2, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad p_2 = \infty$$

we get that the operator

$$T : \mathcal{L}^{p_r}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{q_r}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)$$

CONTINUOUS KRISHNA-PARTHASARATHY ENTROPIC UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

is bounded for every $0 < r < 1$, where p_r and q_r are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p_r} &:= \frac{1-r}{2} + \frac{r}{1} \implies p_r = \frac{2}{r+1}, \\ \frac{1}{q_r} &:= \frac{1-r}{2} + \frac{r}{\infty} \implies q_r = \frac{2}{1-r}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T : \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)$$

is a bounded linear operator for every $0 < r < 1$. Moreover, Theorem 2.3 also gives

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)} \leq M^r, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1.$$

i.e.,

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)} \leq M^r \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)}, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0).$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_A \cap \mathcal{H}_B$ be fixed. Define

$$f : \Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto f(\alpha) := A_\alpha h \in \mathcal{H}_0.$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Since $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ is a finite and $0 < r < 1$, we have $\frac{2}{r+1} < 2$. But then $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Therefore $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)$. We now find

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)} &= \left(\int_{\Delta} \|(Tf)(\beta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}} = \left(\int_{\Delta} \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_\beta A_\alpha^*(f(\alpha)) d\mu(\alpha) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Delta} \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_\beta A_\alpha^* A_\alpha h d\mu(\alpha) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}} = \left(\int_{\Delta} \left\| B_\beta \left(\int_{\Omega} A_\alpha^* A_\alpha h d\mu(\alpha) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Delta} \|B_\beta h\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above value in

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(\Delta, \mathcal{H}_0)} \leq M^r \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_0)}, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1,$$

we get

$$\left(\int_{\Delta} \|B_\beta h\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}} \leq M^r \left(\int_{\Omega} \|A_\alpha h\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{r+1}} d\mu(\alpha) \right)^{\frac{r+1}{2}}, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1.$$

Previous inequality gives

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \|A_\alpha h\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{r+1}} d\mu(\alpha) \right)^{\frac{-r-1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Delta} \|B_\beta h\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{2}} \leq M^r, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1.$$

By raising to the power $2/r$, we get

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \|A_{\alpha} h\|^{\frac{2}{r+1}} d\mu(\alpha) \right)^{\frac{-r-1}{r}} \left(\int_{\Delta} \|B_{\beta} h\|^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \leq M^2, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1.$$

Taking logarithm gives

$$\frac{-r-1}{r} \log \left(\int_{\Omega} \|A_{\alpha} h\|^{\frac{2}{r+1}} d\mu(\alpha) \right) + \frac{1-r}{r} \log \left(\int_{\Delta} \|B_{\beta} h\|^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right) \leq 2 \log M, \quad \forall 0 < r < 1.$$

Since the map

$$(0, r) \ni r \mapsto \frac{-r-1}{r} \log \left(\int_{\Omega} \|A_{\alpha} h\|^{\frac{2}{r+1}} d\mu(\alpha) \right) + \frac{1-r}{r} \log \left(\int_{\Delta} \|B_{\beta} h\|^{\frac{2}{1-r}} d\nu(\beta) \right) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is differentiable, we can find its limiting value as $r \rightarrow 0$ using L Hopital's rule. By doing so we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \|A_{\alpha} h\|^2 \log \|A_{\alpha} h\|^2 d\mu(\alpha) + \int_{\Delta} \|B_{\beta} h\|^2 \log \|B_{\beta} h\|^2 d\nu(\beta) \leq 2 \log M.$$

By using the definition of entropy, we get the theorem. \square

Motivated from the application of entropic uncertainty to finite groups by Krishna and Parthasarathy [7] and from the theory of group-frames for compact groups by Iverson [4] we now give an application of Theorem 2.4 to the compact groups. First we recall basic Peter-Weyl theory of unitary representations of compact groups. More details can be found in [3, 9].

Let G be a compact group. Let \widehat{G} be the dual group of G consisting of all non-equivalent irreducible unitary representations of G . For $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, let d_{π} be the dimension of the representation space of π . For each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, let $\{\pi_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq d_{\pi}}$ be the matrix elements of π in some orthonormal basis for its representation space. Following is the celebrated Peter-Weyl theorem.

Remark 2.5. [3, 9] Let G be a compact group. The set

$$\{\sqrt{d_{\pi}} \pi_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq d_{\pi}, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$.

Let H be any locally compact group with Haar measure ν . Assume that there is a unitary representation $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2(G))$ (need not be irreducible) and a function $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(G)$ such that $\{\rho_h \phi\}_{h \in H}$ is a continuous Parseval frame for $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$ (such frames are known as group-frames, see [4]). Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j,\pi} : \mathcal{L}^2(G) &\ni f \mapsto d_{\pi} \langle f, \pi_{i,j,\pi} \rangle \pi_{i,j,\pi} \in \mathcal{L}^2(G), \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d_{\pi}, \pi \in \widehat{G}, \\ B_h : \mathcal{L}^2(G) &\ni f \mapsto \langle f, \rho_h \phi \rangle \rho_h \phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(G), \quad \forall h \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G)_A \cap \mathcal{L}^2(G)_B$. Now by applying Theorem 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq d_{\pi}, \pi \in \widehat{G}} \|A_{i,j,\pi} f\|^2 \log \|A_{i,j,\pi} f\|^2 - \int_H \|B_h f\|^2 \log \|B_h f\|^2 d\nu(h) \geq \\ & - 2 \log \left(\sup_{1 \leq i,j \leq d_{\pi}, \pi \in \widehat{G}, h \in H} \|B_h A_{i,j,\pi}^*\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of operators $A_{i,j,\pi}$ and B_h in the above equation gives the following corollary.

CONTINUOUS KRISHNA-PARTHASARATHY ENTROPIC UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

Corollary 2.6. *Under the set up defined earlier, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}} d_\pi |\langle f, \pi_{i,j,\pi} \rangle|^2 \log (d_\pi |\langle f, \pi_{i,j,\pi} \rangle|^2) - \int_H |\langle f, \rho_h \phi \rangle|^2 \log |\langle f, \rho_h \phi \rangle|^2 d\nu(h) \geq \\
& - 2 \log \left(\sup_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}, h \in H} \|B_h A_{i,j,\pi}\| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Theorem 2.4 works for arbitrary collections but for projections, Theorem 1.3 gives stronger lower bound (in the discrete case).

3. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author thanks Prof. B. V. Rajarama Bhat for several suggestions.

Author thanks the anonymous reviewer for his/her study of the manuscript and for a future direction of research on entropic uncertainty relations. The author is supported by Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, through the J. C. Bose Fellowship of Prof. B. V. Rajarama Bhat. He thanks Prof. B. V. Rajarama Bhat for the Post Doc position.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. Abdollahpour and M. H. Faroughi. Continuous G -frames in Hilbert spaces. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 32(1):1–19, 2008.
- [2] David Deutsch. Uncertainty in quantum measurements. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 50(9):631–633, 1983.
- [3] Gerald B. Folland. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [4] Joseph W. Iverson. Frames generated by compact group actions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(1):509–551, 2018.
- [5] Victor Kaftal, David R. Larson, and Shuang Zhang. Operator-valued frames. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(12):6349–6385, 2009.
- [6] K. Kraus. Complementary observables and uncertainty relations. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 35(10):3070–3075, 1987.
- [7] M. Krishna and K. R. Parthasarathy. An entropic uncertainty principle for quantum measurements. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 64(3, part 2):842–851, 2002.
- [8] Hans Maassen and J. B. M. Uffink. Generalized entropic uncertainty relations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 60(12):1103–1106, 1988.
- [9] Barry Simon. *Representations of finite and compact groups*, volume 10 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [10] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi. *Functional analysis : Introduction to further topics in analysis*, volume 4 of *Princeton Lectures in Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.
- [11] Wenchang Sun. G -frames and g -Riesz bases. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 322(1):437–452, 2006.