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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that an ancient smooth curve shortening flow with finite-entropy embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has a unique tangent flow at infinity. To this end, we show that its rescaled flows backwardly converge to a line with multiplity $m \geq 3$ exponentially fast in any compact region, unless the flow is a shrinking circle, a static line, a paper clip, or a translating grim reaper. In addition, we figure out the exact numbers of tips, vertices, and inflection points of the curves at negative enough time. Moreover, the exponential growth rate of graphical radius and the convergence of vertex regions to grim reaper curves will be shown.


## 1. Introduction

A family of planar curves $M_{t}$ is a solution to the curve shortening flow if there exists a smooth family of immersions $\gamma: \mathbb{L} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, such that $M_{t}=\gamma(\mathbb{L}, t)$ and it satisfies the evolution equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t}=\gamma_{s s} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By work of Gage-Hamilton [28] and Grayson [29], a closed flow embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ converges to a circle after normalization. Also, by Angenent [5], a closed immersed flow converges to an Abresch-Langer curve or a grim repear curve after normalization. These curves of limit shape are self-similar solutions. To be specific, shrinking circles, shrinking AbreschLanger curves, translating grim repears are curve shortening flows. Note that we call a flow $\mathcal{M}=\cup_{t \in I}\left(M_{t}, t\right)$ is ancient if $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right) \subset I$ for some $t_{0}$. Hence, the shrinking curves and translating curves are ancient flows.

There are non-self-similar ancient flows such as paper clips, ancient trombones [8], and truncated Yin-Yang spirals [13, 45]. However, parabolic Liouville theorems allows us to classfiy ancient flows under natural conditions. For example, shrinking circle, translating grim repear curves, and paper clips are the only convex ancient flow by [9, 25]. In addition, there are recent outburst of researches [6, [7, 11, 12, 18, 19, 20, 26] on convex ancient solutions to its higher dimensional analogy, the mean curvature flow. A key idea of the recent researches is that the rescaled convex flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}_{\tau}=(-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{t}, \quad \text { where } \quad \tau=-\log (-t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges to a sphere or a cylinder of multiplicity one as $\tau \rightarrow-\infty$. Hence, one can investigate its asymptotic behavior near the limit sphere or cylinder. Indeed, if an ancient mean curvature flow backwardly converges to a shrinking flow, then the study of its asymptotic behavior near the limit shrinker yields classifiation results [15, 16, 20, 21, 22]. However, the previous researches for ancient mean curvature flows were restricted to the case that the limit shrinker is of multiplicty one. In this paper, we will work on the ancient curve shortening flows embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that their rescaled flows backwardly converge shrinkers with multiplcitiy as paper clips and ancient trombones.

To study ancient flows whose limits are shrinkers with multiplicty, we recall ColdingMinicozzi's Entropy [23]. See (9) for definition. If $\mathcal{M}=\cup_{t \in I}\left(M_{t}, t\right)$ moves by the curve
shortening flow, then $t \mapsto \operatorname{Ent}\left[M_{t}\right]$ is nonincreasing by Huisken's monotonicity formula [31]. See [23, Lemma 1.11]. Hence, we can also define Entropy of the flow $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]:=\sup _{t \in I} \operatorname{Ent}\left[M_{t}\right], \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we say that an ancient flow $\mathcal{M}$ has finite-entropy if $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]<+\infty$. For example, the entropy of a static line $\mathcal{L}$ and a shrinking circle $\mathcal{C}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{L}]=1, \quad \operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{C}]=\sqrt{2 \pi / e} \approx 1.47 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the line's entropy is 1 , the entropies of paper clips and translating grim repear curves are 2. Also, the entropy of an ancient trombone asymptotic to $m$-lines is $m \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the truncated Yin-Yang spirals [13, 45] have infinite-entropy.
Note that if the entropy of a shrinker is bounded and a rescaled ancient flow backwardly converges to the shrinker $\Gamma$ with multiplicity $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the entropy of the flow is $m \cdot \operatorname{Ent}(\Gamma)<+\infty$. On the other hand, we let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}(M-X)$ denote the curve shortening flow obtained by translating $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times I$ to the space-time origin and parabolically rescaling by $\lambda^{-1}>0$. Then, for any fixed $X_{0}$, a sequence $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$, and an finite-entropy ancient flow $\mathcal{M}$, the sequence $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{i}}\left(M-X_{0}\right)$ has a subsequential limit $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, which is a self-shrinking flow of multiplicity $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The subsequential limit $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is called as a tangent flow at infinity. Now, we provide the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (unique tangent flow at infinity). An ancient finite-entropy smooth curve shortening flow embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has a unique tangent flow at infinity. Moreover, the tangent flow at infinity is a straight line with multiplicity $m \geq 2$, unless the flow is a shrinking circle or a static line.

The tangent flow (at infinity) is the analogy to the tangent cone (at infinity) of minimal surfaces and solutions to elliptic equations. The uniqueness of the tangent cone has been widely studied in various contexts of geometry and analysis, after the seminal uniqueness results of Allard-Almgren [3] and Simon [40] for minimal surfaces. For the mean curvature flow, the uniqueness of the tangent flow has proven at compact [39], cylindrical [24], and asymptotically conical [17] singularities. See also singular tangent flows [37, 42], higher codimension [35], Lagrangian mean curvature flow with multiplicicty [38]. Note that the previous works, except [38], considered tangent flows of multiplicity one, while we deal with higher multiplicty limits. Neves' result [38 of the 1-dimensional case gives the uniqueness of tangent flow with multiplicity under the assumption of a priori uniform bound on the Lagrangian angle, while we assume finite entropy instead of bounded angle.

As stated in the uniqueness theorem 1.1, static lines and shrinking circles are the only ancient finite-entropy flows whose backward limits are of multiplicity one. So, in this paper we say that an ancient flow is nontrivial if it is neither a static line nor a shrinking circle. Also, from now on we let $\mathcal{M}=\cup_{t \in I}\left(M_{t}, t\right)$ denote a nontrivial smooth curve shortening flow embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ for convenience, unless otherwise stated.

Now, we provide the graphical radius theorem, which is a quantitative version of the main theorem 1.1. Note that due to the higher multiplicity issue, we can not employ both of White's local reguliarty [43] and pseudolocality [34], which played crucial roles for graphical radius estimates in [24] and [17], respectively.

Theorem 1.2 (graphical radius and convergence rate). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient flow whose tangent flow at infinity is the line $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ with mutiplicity $m \geq 2$. Then, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist some constants $\tau_{0}<0, \delta>0$ and functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{1}, \cdots, u^{m} \in C^{\infty}\left(\cup_{\tau \leq \tau_{0}}\left(-e^{-\delta \tau}, e^{-\delta \tau}\right) \times\{\tau\}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $u^{i}(y, \tau)>u^{i+1}(y, \tau),\left(y, u^{i}(y, \tau)\right) \in \bar{M}_{\tau}:=e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} M_{-e^{-\tau}}$, and $\left|u_{y}^{i}(y, \tau)\right| \leq \varepsilon$ hold for all $i \geq 1, \tau \leq \tau_{0}$, and $y \in\left(-e^{-\delta \tau}, e^{-\delta \tau}\right)$.

Moreover, given $R>0$, there exists some $\tau_{R}<0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left\|u^{i}(\cdot, \tau)\right\|_{C^{2}\left(B_{R}(0)\right)} \leq e^{4 \delta \tau} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\tau \leq \tau_{R}$ and $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$.

To prove the graphical radius theorem 1.2 , we will count exact numbers of several geometrically important types of points such as tips, vertices, and inflection points. See Section 2.3 for terminology.
Theorem 1.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient noncompact [resp. compact] flow with $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M})=$ $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{t}^{\text {tip }}, \mathcal{S}_{t}^{k n u c}, \mathcal{S}_{t}^{\text {shp }}, \mathcal{S}_{t}^{\text {flat }}$, and $\mathcal{S}_{t}^{\text {infl }}$ denote the sets of tips, knuckles, sharp vertices, flat vertices, and inflection points of $M_{t}$, respectively. Then, there is negative enough time $T<0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{t}^{t i p}\right|=\left|\mathcal{S}_{t}^{s h p}\right|=m-1[\text { resp. } m] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}_{t}^{f l a t}\right|+\left|\mathcal{S}_{t}^{\text {infl }}\right|=m-2[\text { resp. } m]
$$

and $\left|\mathcal{S}_{t}^{k n u c}\right|=m$ hold for all $t \leq T$.

Also, it is crucial to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the flow in sharp vertex regions, since the flow is close to straight lines in other regions. For example, we let $v(t)$ denote a continuous family of sharp vertices of $M_{t}$, and then we can say that $\mathbf{v}=$ $\bigcup_{t \in I}(\mathbf{v}(t), t)$ is a path of sharp vertices of $\mathcal{M}$. In particular, if $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right) \subset I$, then we call it an ancient path. Then, the following theorem shows how the flow converges to a translating grim reaper in the parabolic neighborhood $P\left(\mathbf{v},(|\kappa(\mathbf{v})| \varepsilon)^{-1}\right)$ of $\mathbf{v}(t):=(v(t), t)$. See (8) for the definition of $P(X, r)$.
Theorem 1.4 (vertex asymptotics). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient flow with an ancient path $\mathbf{v}$ of sharp vertices, and let $\lambda(t):=|\kappa(\mathbf{v}(t))|^{-1}$. Then, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $T \ll-1$ such that for any $t \leq T$, the connected component of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(t)}(\mathcal{M}-\mathbf{v}(t)) \cap P(\mathbf{0}, 1 / \varepsilon)$ containing $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is $\varepsilon$-close in $C^{\lfloor 1 / \varepsilon\rfloor}$ to a unit-speed-translating grim repear curve whose zero-time-slice has its tip at the origin.

This vertex asymptotic theorem 1.4 can be obtained by the following classification of low-entropy flows.

Theorem 1.5 (low-entropy flow). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient smooth curve shortening flow embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M})<3$. Then, it is a static line, a shrinking circle, a paper clip, or a translating grim reaper.

Remark 1.6. To wit, low-entropy flows are convex.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the weak formulation of the curve shortening flow and the geometric terminologies that are going to be used in the rest of the paper. In particular, the ancient paths of critical points of distance and curvature are defined using Sturm's theory. In Section 3, we study the coarse structure of the backward limit of embedded finite-entropy ancient solutions. We show that the entropy for a nontrivial ancient flow must be an integer, which in turn determines the number of sheets, knuckles, and fingers of the very-ancient time-slices. In Section 4, we focus on the low-entropy Brakke flows and classify the ancient embedded flows with entropy no more than 2, based on the strict convexity of entropy 2 flows (Theorem 4.9). In Section 5 , we show that the sub-flow of fingers and tails has a localized Gaussian density ratio almost bounded by 2 and 1 , respectively. Then the classification of weak low-entropy flows allows us to show the asymptotic behavior of the high-curvature region on fingers and the curvature decay estimate on tails. In Section 6, we prove that the flow looks like a grim reaper around any sharp vertices. With a better understanding of sharp vertices, we are able to show several geometric properties of fingers, including the improved lower bound for
curvature at the sharp vertices, maximal numbers of vertices, and angle difference between two adjacent knuckles. In Section 7, we show that the smallness of the graph functions in a fixed compact set propagates out and gives the graphical radius lower bound. Finally, in Section 8, we prove the uniqueness of tangent flow at infinity based on the grahical radius lower bound and an Allard-Almgren argument.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Common notation. Denote the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of radius $r>0$ centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
B_{r}(x)=B(x, r):=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|y-x|<r\right\} .
$$

Denote the parabolic open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ of radius $r>0$ centered at $X=(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(X, r):=B(x, r) \times\left(t-r^{2}, t\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Weak flows. To analyze singularities or the limits of solutions, we need to define solutions in a non-smooth setting with the compactness theorem. In geometric measure theory, a very nice class of singular curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is described by one-rectifiable Radon measures; see [41, [32]. Recall that a one-rectifiable Radon measure $\mu$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a Radon measure that has a one-dimensional tangent line of positive multiplicity at $\mu$-a.e. points (c.f. [41, 11.6]). As in the smooth setting, the entropy of $\mu$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ent}[\mu]=\sup _{x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \lambda>0} \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi \lambda}} e^{-\frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}{4 \lambda}} d \mu(x) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that it is the supremum of the Gaussian weighted length functional $F_{x_{0}, \lambda}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x_{0}, \lambda}[\mu]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi \lambda}} \int e^{\frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}{4 \lambda}} d \mu(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

over all centers $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and all scales $\lambda>0$.
As in [10, 32], a one-dimensional Brakke flow in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a family of Radon measures $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \in I}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ that is one-rectifiable for a.e. $t \in I$ and satisfies (1) in the weak sense, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{t} \int \varphi d \mu_{t} \leq \int\left(-\varphi|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2}+(\nabla \varphi)^{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}\right) d \mu_{t} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative test function $\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Here, $\bar{D}_{t}$ denotes the limsup of difference quotients, $\perp$ denotes the normal projection which is defined $\mu_{t}$-almost everywhere, and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ denotes the generalized curvature vector of the associated varifold $V_{\mu_{t}}$, which is defined via the first variation formula and exists $\mu_{t}$-almost everywhere at almost every $t$. The integral on the righ hand side is defined to be $-\infty$ whenever it does not make sense. Brakke flows enjoy a nice existence and the compactness theorem [32].

The monotonicity formula plays an important role in the study of singularities. Let $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \in I}$ be a Brakke flow with finite entropy, where entropy is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]=\sup _{t \in I} \operatorname{Ent}\left[\mu_{t}\right] .
$$

Following Huisken's calculation 31] with modification in Brakke setting (c.f. [33, Lemma $7]$ ), for any spacetime point $X_{0}=\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$, for any $t<t_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{t} \int \Phi_{X_{0}}(x, t) d \mu_{t} \leq-\int\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\perp}}{2\left(t_{0}-t\right)}\right|^{2} \Phi_{X_{0}}(x, t) d \mu_{t} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\Phi_{X_{0}}(x, t)=\left(4 \pi\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right)_{+}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}{4\left(t_{0}-t\right)}\right)$ denotes the backward heat kernel where $(\cdot)_{+}=\max \{\cdot, 0\}$. Note that the integral on the left hand side of the monotonity formula is
exactly $F_{x_{0},\left(t_{0}-t\right)}\left[\mu_{t}\right]$. A localized version will be stated and used in Section 5. We define the Gaussian density ratio centered at $X_{0}$ at scale $r>0$ by

$$
\Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}, r\right)=\int \Phi_{X_{0}} d \mu_{t_{0}-r^{2}}
$$

which is nondecreasing in $r>0$. Thus, the limit

$$
\Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}, r\right)
$$

exists for all $X_{0}$, and is called the Gaussian density at $X_{0}$. The map

$$
X \rightarrow \Theta(\mathcal{M}, X)
$$

is upper-semicontinuous. If $\mathcal{M}$ is ancient, the limit

$$
\Theta(\mathcal{M}, \infty):=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}, r\right)
$$

exists for all $X_{0}$ and is equal to $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]$. By the rigidity part of the monotonicity formula, if $\Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}\right)=\Theta(\mathcal{M}, \infty)$, then the backward portion $\mathcal{M}_{X_{0}}^{-}:=\left(\mathcal{M}-X_{0}\right) \cap\{X=(x, t)$ : $t<0\}$ is self-similar, namely, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda} \mathcal{M}_{X_{0}}^{-}=\mathcal{M}_{X_{0}}^{-}$for all $\lambda>0$.

The monotonity formula allows us to control the Euclidean density ratio $r^{-1} \mu_{t}\left(B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ for any $r>0$. Given $X_{0}$, using Ilmanen's compactness theorem ([32, Theorem 7.1]), blowup sequences $\mathcal{M}_{X_{0}, \lambda_{i}}:=\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{i}}\left(\mathcal{M}-X_{0}\right)$ with $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow 0^{+}$always have a subsequential limit $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$, called tangent flow at $X_{0}$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{M}$ is ancient, blowdown sequences $\mathcal{M}_{X_{0}, \lambda_{i}}$ with $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ always have a subsequential limit $\mathcal{M}$, called tangent flow at infinity as discussed in the introduction. Using the monotonicity formula again (c.f. [33, Lemma 8]), $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ are both backwardly self-similar.

All Brakke flows $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \in I}$ throughout the present paper have the following additional good properties:

- integral (c.f. [10, 32]): $\mu_{t}$ is integer one-rectifiable for almost all $t$.
- unit-regular (c.f. [43]): Every spacetime point with Gaussian density one is a regular point.
- cyclic (c.f. [44): For almost all $t$ the associated $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ flat chain $\left[V_{\mu_{t}}\right]$ of the associate varifold is cyclic, namely, $\partial\left[V_{\mu_{t}}\right]=0$.
It is worth noting that being integral, unit-regular, and cyclic is preserved under the limit of Brakke flows. For the closure of being integral and cyclic, see 44, Theorem 3.2, 3.3, and 4.2]. The closure of being unit-regular follows from White's regularity theorem [43. In particular, Brakke flows starting at any closed embedded curve $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ constructed via Ilmanen's elliptic regularization procedure [32] are integral, unit-regular, and cyclic.

For any closed set $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, its level-set flow $\left\{K_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined to be the maximal family of closed sets starting at $K$ that satisfies the avoidance principle (c.f. [32, Section 10]), whose spacetime track is denoted by $\mathcal{K}$. For any complete embedded curve $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash M$ has exactly two connected components whose closures are denoted by $K, K^{\prime}$, respectively. When $M \cong \mathbb{S}^{1}, K$ is always chosen to be compact and is called inside, in contrast, $K^{\prime}$ is called outside; when $M \cong \mathbb{R}, K$ and $K^{\prime}$ will be determined later and still called inside and outside with respect to a "finger", respectively. Another two weak flows of the CSF starting at $M$ are the outer flow $\left\{\partial K_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and the inner flow $\left\{\partial K_{t}^{\prime}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ [32, 30]. They can be viewed as boundary flows of sets of locally finite perimeters (or Caccioppoli sets) introduced by de Giorgi (c.f. [32, Section 11]). As long as the CSF $\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \in I}$ is smooth, these formulations are identical. The advantage of utilizing these flows in this paper is that we can keep track of the orientation more easily with topological arguments in Section 4.
2.3. Geometric terminology for planar curve. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a smooth immersion whose image $M=\gamma(\mathbb{L})$ is a complete embedded curve assigned with the following orientation. Denote by $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ the unit tangent and unit normal on $\Gamma$, respectively. If $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{S}^{1}$, then we choose the counterclockwise orientation for $\gamma$, namely, it coincides with the natural orientation of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. Let $J: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto(-y, x)$. We choose the unit normal $\mathbf{n}$ to be $\mathbf{n}:=J \mathbf{t}$. Equivalently, $\mathbf{n}$ points inward inside $K$ of $M$. The signed curvature is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\left\langle\gamma_{s s}, \mathbf{n}\right\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{n}\rangle . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{R}$, we still choose $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}=J \mathbf{t}$ such that $\mathbf{n}$ points from $K^{\prime}$ into $K$ as discussed in the last paragraph of Section 2.1.

Now we will define several geometric critical points of $M$ and a curved segment or a ray whose end point is a critical point. By curved segment, we mean an image $\gamma([a, b])$; by curved ray, we mean an image $\gamma((-\infty, a])$ or $\gamma([b, \infty))$ for some $-\infty<a<b<\infty$.

Definition 2.1 (critical points of distance functions). Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we define knuckle, tip, finger, sheet, and tail with respect to $x_{0}$ as follows:
(1) $\mathbf{q}_{0}=\gamma\left(q_{0}\right)$ is a tip with respect to $x_{0}$ if $\left|\gamma-x_{0}\right|$ reaches its local maximum at $q_{0}$.
(2) $\mathbf{p}_{0}=\gamma\left(p_{0}\right)$ is a knuckle with respect to $x_{0}$ if $\left|\gamma-x_{0}\right|$ reaches its local minimum at $p_{0}$.
(3) A compact curved segment $\Gamma \subset M$ is a finger with respect to $x_{0}$ if its end points are isolated knuckles with respect to $x_{0}$ and there is no knuckle with respect to $x_{0}$ in the interior of $\Gamma$. A finger $\Gamma$ is said to be positive (resp. negative) if

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \kappa d s>0 \quad(\text { resp. }<0)
$$

(4) A curved ray $\Gamma \subset M$ is a tail with respect to $x_{0}$ if its unique end point is a knuckle with respect to $x_{0}$ and there is no knuckle with respect to $x_{0}$ in the interior of $\Gamma$. In particular, if $\Gamma=\gamma((-\infty, a])$ (resp. $=\gamma([b, \infty)))$, then $\Gamma$ is called the left (resp. right) tail.

Definition 2.2 (critical points of curvature $|\kappa|)$. We define inflection point, vertex, and edge of $M$ as follows:
(1) An inflection point of the curve $\Gamma$ is a zero of curvature.
(2) A vertex $\mathbf{v}=\gamma(v)$ of the curve $\Gamma$ is a critical point of curvature, i.e., $\kappa_{s}(v)=0$. We say that the vertex $p \in \Gamma$ is sharp ( resp. flat ) if $|\kappa|$ reaches its local maximum (resp. minimum) at $p$.
(3) A curved segment or ray $\Sigma$ of $M$ is an edge of $M$ if $\partial \Sigma$ consists of sharp vertices and there is no sharp vertex in the interior of $\Sigma$.

Furthermore, we need the following definition for Sturm's theory of.
Definition 2.3 (paths of geometric critical points). For a curve shortening flow $\mathcal{M}$, the spacetime track $\mathbf{p}=\bigcup_{t \in I}(p(t), t) \in \mathcal{M}$ is called a path of tip or knuckle with respect to $x_{0}$, or vertex if $p(t)$ is continuous and $p(t)$ is tip or knuckle with respect to $x_{0}$, or vertex of $M_{t}$ for all $t \in I$, respectively.

Lastly, graphical radius and sheets are defined in Definition 3.4 after we show the rough convergence theorem 3.3. Subflow of finger will be defined in Definition 5.1. Also, $\varepsilon$ grim reaper flows and bumpy curves are defined in Definition 6.1 and 6.11, respectively. Furthermore, the $\varepsilon$-trombone time is defined in Defintion 7.1.
2.4. Sturm's Theory. For a function $u:\left[A_{0}, A_{1}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we will call the set $u^{-1}(0)$ of all zeros the nodal set of $u$. Any connected component of $\left[A_{0}, A_{1}\right] \backslash u^{-1}(0)$ is called a nodal domain. A point $x_{0} \in\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ is called a multiple zero of $u$ if $u\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{x}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.

Proposition 2.4 (Sturm's theory [4]). Let $u:\left[A_{0}, A_{1}\right] \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth nontrivial solution to the parabolic equation $u_{t}=a u_{x x}+b u_{x}+c u$, where $a, b, c \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[A_{0}, A_{1}\right] \times[0, T]\right)$ and $a>0$. Suppose $u$ satisfies Dirichlet, Neumann, the periodic boundary condition, or the nonvanishing boundary condition: $u\left(A_{i}, t\right) \neq 0$ holds for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $i=1,2$. Let $z(t)$ denote the number of zeros of $u(\cdot, t)$ in $\left[A_{0}, A_{1}\right]$ with $t \in[0, T]$. Then,
(a) for all $t \in[0, T], z(t)$ is finite,
(b) if $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is a multiple zero of $u$, i.e., $u$ and $u_{x}$ both vanish at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, then for all $t_{1}<t_{0}<t_{2}$ we have $z\left(t_{1}\right)>z\left(t_{2}\right)$. More precisely, there exists a neighborhood $N=\left[x_{0}-\varepsilon, x_{0}+\varepsilon\right] \times\left[t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}+\delta\right]$ such that
(i) $u\left(x_{0} \pm \varepsilon, t\right) \neq 0$ for $\left|t-t_{0}\right| \leq \delta$,
(ii) $u(\cdot, t+\delta)$ has at most one zero in the interval $\left[x_{0}-\varepsilon, x_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$,
(iii) $u(\cdot, t-\delta)$ has at least two zero in the interval $\left[x_{0}-\varepsilon, x_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $u$ be assumed as in the above proposition. Then the set

$$
\{t \in[0, T]: u(\cdot, t) \text { has a multiple zero }\}
$$

is finite.
As a consequence of Proposition 2.4 and implicit function theorem, the zero set $Z=$ $\left\{(x, t) \in\left[A_{0}, A_{1}\right] \times[0, T]: u(x, t)=0\right\}$ is a closed set with a directed forest structure $R \sqcup S$, in which $R=\left\{(x, t): u(x, t)=0, u_{x}(x, t) \neq 0\right\}$ is a union of smooth paths (edges) and $S=\left\{(x, t): u(x, t)=0, u_{x}(x, t)=0\right\}$ is the discrete set of multiple zeros (nodes). Additionally, each node has at least two branches. Since $u$ has opposite signs in two adjacent spacetime nodal domains, a path of zero terminates at a node (multiple zero) if and only if the node has an even number of branches.
2.5. Ancient paths of knuckles and tips. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a solution to the CSF parametrized by $\gamma$. Given $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, define the squared-distance function by $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(s, t)=\left|\gamma(s, t)-x_{0}\right|^{2}+$ $2 t$. Here, $s$ is the arc-length parameter. When $x_{0}=0$, simply denote $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$ by $\varphi$. A direct computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}=2\left\langle\gamma(s, t)-x_{0}, \mathbf{t}(s, t)\right\rangle, \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}=2+2\left\langle\gamma(s, t)-x_{0}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}(s, t)\right\rangle . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$ satisfies the heat equation:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)} .
$$

Lemma 2.6. $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ attains a critical point at $s_{0}$ if and only if $x_{0}$ is on the normal line of $\gamma$ at $\gamma\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, that is, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\gamma\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)-\alpha \mathbf{n}\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\kappa\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$, then $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ always attains a local minimum at $s_{0}$; if $\kappa\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and we substitute $\alpha=\beta / \kappa\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ in (15), then
(a) when $\beta>1, \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ attains a local maximum at $s_{0}$,
(b) when $\beta<1, \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ attains a local minimum at $s_{0}$,
(c) when $\beta=1, x_{0}$ is the center of the osculating circle tangent to $\gamma$ at $\gamma\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, that $i s, \varphi_{s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\varphi_{s s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from the first and second derivative tests.
Proposition 2.7 (ancient paths of knuckle/tip). Suppose that for some $t_{0} \in I$ such that $p_{0}$ and $q_{0}$ are, respectively, a local minimum point and a local maximum point of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$. Then the following statements hold.
(a) There exist continuous ancient paths $\mathbf{p}=\bigcup_{t \leq t_{0}}(p(t), t), \mathbf{q}=\bigcup_{t \leq t_{0}}(q(t), t) \subset \mathbb{L} \times$ $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right]$ such that $p\left(t_{0}\right)=p_{0}, q\left(t_{0}\right)=q_{0}$ and $p(t), q(t)$ are a local minimum point and a local maximum point of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\cdot, t)$ respectively for all $t \leq t_{0}$. Furthermore, for all $t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{p}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \leq \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{p}\left(t_{2}\right)\right), \quad \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \geq \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The sign of curvature $\kappa(\mathbf{q}(t))$ remains the same for all $t \leq t_{0}$.
(c) $(-t)^{-1}|\gamma(\mathbf{p}(t))|^{2}$ is increasing in $t$.
(d)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow-\infty}(-t)^{-1}|\gamma(\mathbf{p}(t))|^{2} \leq 2 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow-\infty}(-t)^{-1}|\gamma(\mathbf{q}(t))|^{2} \geq 2 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Let $u=\varphi_{s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$. Using the commutator identity, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\kappa^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, u$ satisfies the parabolic equation

$$
u_{t}=u_{s s}+\kappa^{2} u .
$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a flow of closed curves. By Sturm theory in Section 2.1 with periodic boundary condition, there exists a continuous path $\mathbf{p}=\cup_{t \leq t_{0}}(\mathbf{p}(t)) \subset Z$ such that $p\left(t_{0}\right)=$ $p_{0}$ and $\varphi_{s s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}=u_{s}>0$ in $\mathbf{p} \cap R$. This implies that $\mathbf{p} \cap R$ is a path of local spatial minimum points of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$. From the structure of spacetime nodal domains in Section 2.4, $\mathbf{p} \cap S$ is still a path of local spatial minimum points of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$. 16 follows from the minimum principle. The statements regarding the ancient path of local maximum point $q(t)$ hold analogously. Now suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a flow of complete noncompact curves. Note that for all $t \leq t_{0}$, $\lim _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(s, t)=\infty$. According to Sard's theorem, for any $t$, for any $A_{0}>0$, there exists $A>A_{0}$ such that $\varphi_{s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}( \pm A, t) \neq 0$. Then the Sturm theory with non-vanishing boundary condition is applicable to $u$ in the spacetime region $[-A, A] \times[t-\varepsilon, t]$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ for any $t$ and arbitrarily large $A$.
(b) Observe that for a.e. $t, \varphi_{s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\mathbf{q}(t))=0$ and $\varphi_{s s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\mathbf{q}(t))<0$. By 14, this means that $1<\left|\gamma(\mathbf{q}(t))-x_{0}\right||\kappa(\mathbf{q}(t))|$. Thus, $\kappa(\mathbf{q}(t)) \neq 0$ for all $t$ and $\kappa(\mathbf{q}(t))$ cannot change sign.
(c) For $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{0}$, by 16

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-t_{1}\right)^{-1}\left|\gamma\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-x_{0}\right|^{2} & =\left(-t_{1}\right)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+2 \leq\left(-t_{1}\right)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)+2 \\
& \leq\left(-t_{2}\right)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)+2=\left(-t_{2}\right)^{-1}\left|\gamma\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)-x_{0}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Using (16), we find

$$
(-t)^{-1}\left|\gamma(\mathbf{p}(t))-x_{0}\right|^{2}=(-t)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\mathbf{p}(t))+2 \geq(-t)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{p}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)+2,
$$

and

$$
(-t)^{-1}\left|\gamma(\mathbf{q}(t))-x_{0}\right|^{2}=(-t)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\mathbf{q}(t))+2 \leq(-t)^{-1} \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)+2 .
$$

By taking limsup and liminf respectively, we get (17) and (18).
2.6. Ancient paths of vertex. We recall that the curvature of the CSF satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \kappa+\kappa^{3} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, the curvature $\bar{\kappa}$ of the rescaled solution $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \bar{\kappa}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \bar{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\kappa}+\bar{\kappa}^{3} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.8 (ancient sharp-vertex-path). Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a nontrivial flow. Then, given a sharp vertex $v_{0}$ of $M_{t_{0}}$ with $t_{0} \leq T$, there exists an ancient sharp-vertex-path $\mathbf{v}=\bigcup_{t \leq t_{0}}(v(t), t)$ such that $v\left(t_{0}\right)=v_{0}$.
Proof. Let $u=\kappa_{s}$. Differentiating (19) in $s$ and using $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\kappa^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$, $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{s s}+4 \kappa^{2} u . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is nontrivial, we can apply Proposition 2.4 to the function $u$ as Proposition 2.7

Lemma 2.9 (rough curvature lower bound of sharp vertex). For a nontrivial rescaled flow $\bar{M}_{\tau}$, assume the curvature satisfies $\left|\bar{\kappa}\left(v\left(\tau_{0}\right), \tau_{0}\right)\right| \geq K$ at some sharp vertex $v\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ for some $0<K<1 / \sqrt{2}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\bar{\kappa}(v(\tau), \tau)|>K \quad \text { for all } \tau \leq \tau_{0} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

along the vertex path $v(\tau)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty}|\bar{\kappa}(v(\tau), \tau)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity, let $\bar{\chi}(\tau)=\bar{\kappa}(v(\tau), \tau)$ for all $\tau \leq \tau_{0}$. Assume that $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{0}\right)>0$. We claim that if $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \geq 1 / \sqrt{2}$, then $\bar{\chi}(\tau) \geq 1 / \sqrt{2}$ for all $\tau \leq \tau_{0}$ and then the lemma holds trivially. By 20) and maximality at sharp vertex, whenever $0<\bar{\chi}(\tau)<1 / \sqrt{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \bar{\chi}(\tau) \leq-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}(\tau)+\bar{\chi}(\tau)^{3}<0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose the contrary, there exists $\tau_{1}<\tau_{0}$ so that $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{1}\right)<1 / \sqrt{2}$. By mean value theorem, there exists $\tau^{\prime} \in\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{0}\right)$ so that $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)<1 / \sqrt{2}$ and $\frac{d \bar{\chi}}{d \tau}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)>0$, contradicting (24).

Suppose $0<K \leq \bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{0}\right)<1 / \sqrt{2}$. Let $\tau_{*}=\inf \left\{a \leq \tau_{0}: \forall \tau \in\left[a, \tau_{0}\right], 0<\bar{\chi}(\tau)<1 / \sqrt{2}\right\}$. From (24), $\bar{\chi}(\tau)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left(\tau_{*}, \tau_{0}\right]$. Thus, $\bar{\chi}(\tau)>K$ on $\left(\tau_{*}, \tau_{0}\right]$. It $\tau_{*}>-\infty$, then $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{*}\right)=1 / \sqrt{2}$ and the situation on $\left(-\infty, \tau_{*}\right]$ reduces to the first paragraph, and we are done. If $\tau_{*}=-\infty$, then for $\tau \in\left(-\infty, \tau_{0}\right)$, using $K<\bar{\chi}(\tau)<1 / \sqrt{2}$ along with (24),

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau} \bar{\chi} \leq-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}+\bar{\chi}^{3}=\bar{\chi}\left(\bar{\chi}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(\bar{\chi}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\bar{\chi}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)<0,
$$

and therefore

$$
0 \leq\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-\bar{\chi}(\tau)\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right) \exp \left(\frac{K}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

In particular, $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-\bar{\chi}(\tau)\right)$ converges to 0 as $\tau$ tends to $-\infty$. This completes the proof for $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{0}\right)>0$. The assertions for $\bar{\chi}\left(\tau_{0}\right)<0$ hold analogously since $-\frac{1}{2} x+x^{3}$ is odd.

## 3. Rough backward convergence of rescaled flow

Let $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, and let $\bar{M}_{\tau}=\bar{\gamma}_{\tau}(\mathbb{L})$ be an embedded ancient solution to the rescaled curve shortening flow $\left(\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{x}\right)^{\perp}=\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For any curve $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we consider its Gaussian weighted length centered at the origin at scale 1:

$$
F(M):=F_{0,1}(M)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{M} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) .
$$

It follows from Huisken's monotonicity formula that $F\left(\bar{M}_{\tau}\right)$ is non-increasing in $\tau$.
Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $\left\{\bar{M}_{j}\right\}$ is a sequence of connected, smooth, embedded curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that for all $j, F\left(\bar{M}_{j}\right)<\Lambda$ for some $\Lambda>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bar{M}_{j}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x})=0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then after passing to a subsequence, $\bar{M}_{j}$ converges in $C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}, \alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$, to a line $\ell$ through the origin or a circle $\mathbb{S}_{r}^{1}$ with radius $r=\sqrt{2}$, possibly with multiplicity.

Proof. By assumption (25), for any $R>0$ and $j$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-\frac{R^{2}}{4}} \int_{\bar{M}_{j} \cap B_{R}}|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) & \leq \int_{\bar{M}_{j} \cap B_{R}}|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \leq \int_{\bar{M}_{j} \cap B_{R}}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \leq \int_{\bar{M}_{j} \cap B_{R}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x})+\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} R^{2} \Lambda \leq 10 R^{2} \Lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with the mass bound $F\left(\bar{M}_{j}\right)<\Lambda$ and the Sobolev embedding, it follows that $\bar{M}_{j}$ converges in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \alpha}$, for some $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$, to a limit curve $\bar{M}_{\infty}$ which satisfies

$$
\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}=0
$$

in the distribution sense. By elliptic regularity, $\bar{M}_{\infty}$ is a smooth shrinker.
Next, we claim that $\bar{M}_{\infty}$ cannot have transverse self-intersection points. Suppose the contrary. Let $p \in \bar{M}_{\infty}$ be a transverse self-intersection point. There exist $L_{1}, L_{2} \in \operatorname{Gr}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ such that $L_{1} \cup L_{2} \subset T_{p} \bar{M}_{\infty}$. Since the curvature of $\bar{M}_{\infty}$ at $p$ is bounded by $|p|$, by $C^{1, \alpha}$ convergence, for all small $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ depending only $|p|$ such that for all sufficiently large $j, \bar{M}_{j} \cap B_{\delta}(p)$ contains two components which can be respectively expressed as a graph of $u_{j}^{i}$ over $p+L_{i}$ with $\delta^{-1}\left|u_{j}^{i}\right|+\left|\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon$ for $i=1,2$. It follows that these two components intersect with each other, contradicting the embeddedness of $\bar{M}_{j}$.

By Abresch-Langer's classification of shrinkers [1], $\bar{M}_{\infty}$ must be a circle with radius $\sqrt{2}$ or a line through the origin. This finishes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is an ancient smooth embedded flow such that its rescaled flow $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ converges to $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{2}}^{1}$ as $\tau \rightarrow-\infty$ in $C^{\infty}{ }_{-s e n s e . ~ T h e n ~}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a family of shrinking circles.

Proof. By Grayson's Theorem [29], any closed, embedded curve will shrink to a round point in finite-time. The result now follows from Huisken's monotonicity formula.

The main result in this section is the following backward convergence of nontrivial ancient flows.

Theorem 3.3 (rough convergence). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient nontrivial flow with entropy $\Lambda:=\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]<\infty$. Then $\Lambda=m \in \mathbb{N}$, and the following property holds for its rescaled flow $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ :

Given $R \gg 1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there are $T=T(\varepsilon, R) \ll-1$ and a smooth rotation function $S:(-\infty, T] \rightarrow S O(2)$ such that $S(\tau) \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{R}(0)$ is a disjoint union of $m$ graphs, each of which is $\varepsilon$-close in $C^{\left\lfloor\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\rfloor}$-sense to the coordinate axis $\ell_{0}=\{(y, 0):|y|<R\}$.

Proof. The finite entropy assumption and the Huisken monotonicity formula imply that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{-1} \int_{\bar{M}_{\tau}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) d \tau=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-(k+1)}^{-k} \int_{\bar{M}_{\tau}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x}) d \tau<\infty
$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tau_{k} \in[-(k+1),-k]$ be the time such that

$$
\int_{\bar{M}_{\tau_{k}}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x})=\inf _{\tau \in[-(k+1),-k]} \int_{\bar{M}_{\tau}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x})
$$

Thus, we must have

$$
\lim _{\tau_{k} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\bar{M}_{\tau_{k}}}\left|\boldsymbol{\kappa}+\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\perp}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbf{x})=0
$$

By Lemma 3.1, after passing to a subsequence, $\bar{M}_{\tau_{k}}$ converges in $C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}$ to a limiting curve $\bar{M}_{-\infty}$, which is a line $\ell$ through the origin or a circle $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{2}}^{1}$, possibly with finite-multiplicity.

We can rule out the shrinking circles by the assumption that the flow is nontrivial.
Claim 1: $\quad \bar{M}_{-\infty}$ cannot be a finite cover of $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{2}}^{1}$.
Proof of Claim 1. Suppose that $\bar{M}_{-\infty}$ is an $m$-cover of $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{2}}^{1}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. By the $C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}$ convergence, $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$ is a union of $C^{1, \alpha}$ graphs over the circle. Since $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$ is embedded, the graphs are disjoint, which contradicts the connectedness. Thus, we must have $m=1$. By Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{M}$ is a family of shrinking circles, which contradicts the assumption that the flow is nontrivial.

Hence, any subsequential limit of $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$ is a line through the origin, possibly with multiplicity.

Claim 2: The multiplicity $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is independent of the subsequences.
Proof of Claim 2. Suppose that along subsequences $\tau_{j}, \tau_{j}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}} \underset{\substack{1, \alpha}}{C_{\text {loc }}} m \ell \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{M}_{\tau_{j}^{\prime}} \xrightarrow[C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}]{ } m^{\prime} \ell^{\prime},
$$

we will show $m=m^{\prime}$. Given any $\varepsilon>0$, pick a large $k_{0}$ such that $F\left(\bar{M}_{\tau_{k_{0}}}\right)>m-\varepsilon$. Then for every $\tau_{j}^{\prime}$ with $\tau_{j}^{\prime}>\tau_{k_{0}}$, by monotonicity we have

$$
m^{\prime} \geq F\left(\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \geq F\left(\bar{M}_{\tau_{k_{0}}}\right)>m-\varepsilon .
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, $m^{\prime} \geq m$. Similarly, $m \geq m^{\prime}$, so $m=m^{\prime}$.
We can now characterize the rough shape of the time slices $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$ for $\tau_{j} \ll-1$.
Claim 3: For every $\varepsilon>0$ and $R>0$, there exists $J \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $j \geq J$, $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}} \cap B_{R}(0)$ is a union of $m$ graphs of functions $u_{j}^{1}, \cdots, u_{j}^{m}$ over a line $\ell$ through the origin, with $\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left\|u_{j}^{i}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{R}\right)}<\varepsilon$.
Proof of Claim 3. Suppose the contrary, then we can find a subsequence of $\tau_{j}$ along which the assertion does not hold. By Lemma 3.1 and the Claims above, there is a further subsequence that converges in $C^{1, \alpha}$-sense to a multiplicity $m$ line through the origin, a contradiction.

It follows from Claim 3 that for a given $R \gg 1$, for $j$ large enough, the curve $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$ can be decomposed into a disjoint union of graphs in $B_{R}$ and the arcs outside $B_{R}$ that contain the tips, namely, the local maxima of $|\mathbf{x}|^{2}$ on $\bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$. The next claim shows that if $\tau_{j} \ll-1$, these tips will stay outside of $B_{R}$ as time goes from $\tau_{j}$ to $\tau_{j-1}$.

Claim 4: Given $R>0$, there exists $T=T(R) \ll 0$ such that if $\tau \leq T$, the local maximum of $|\mathbf{x}|^{2}$ on $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ cannot be attained in $B_{R}(0)$.
Proof of Claim 4. By Lemma 3.1 and Claim 3, we can find a sequence of rotations $S_{j} \in$ $S O(2)$ such that $S_{j} \bar{M}_{\tau_{j}}$ converges to the axis $\ell_{0}=\{(y, 0): y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with multiplicity $m$ in $C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}$-sense. Let $\mathbf{q}=\bigcup_{t \leq t_{0}}(q(t), t)$ be an ancient path of tip of $\mathcal{M}$ defined in Section 2.5 . Converting to rescaled flow, $\bar{\gamma}(q(\tau), \tau)$ is a local maximum of the squared distance $|\mathrm{x}|^{2}$ on $\bar{M}_{\tau}$. Denote $r(\tau):=|\bar{\gamma}(q(\tau), \tau)|^{2}$. Observe that $\bar{\gamma}(q(\tau), \tau)=\bar{\gamma}(q(\tau), \tau)^{\perp}$. From this fact and the above convergence, we know that $r\left(\tau_{j}\right):=r\left(\bar{\gamma}\left(q\left(\tau_{j}\right), \tau_{j}\right)\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\tau_{j} \rightarrow-\infty$. By the rescaled flow equation we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau} r(\tau)=-2|\kappa| \sqrt{r}+r \leq r .
$$

It follows that, for any $\tau \in\left[\tau_{j+1}, \tau_{j}\right] \subseteq[-(j+2),-j]$,

$$
r(\tau) \geq e^{-2} \cdot r\left(\tau_{j}\right)
$$

Since $r\left(\tau_{j}\right) \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} r(\tau)=\infty$.
For each $k$, define a curve shortening flow $M_{\tau}^{k}$ by

$$
M_{t}^{k}:=(-t)^{1 / 2} \bar{M}_{\tau_{k}-\log (-t)}, \quad t \in\left[-1,-e^{\tau_{k}}\right)
$$

Then $M_{-1}^{k}=\bar{M}_{\tau_{k}}$. By Claim 3, for $k$ sufficiently large, $M_{-1}^{k} \cap B_{R}$ is a union of graphs of functions $u_{k}^{1}, \cdots, u_{k}^{m}$ on $\ell_{0}$ with small $C^{1, \alpha}$-norms. Given any connected component $\Sigma_{-1}^{k}$ of $M_{-1}^{k} \cap B_{R}$, Claim 4 implies that there is a well-defined curve shortening flow $\left\{\Sigma_{t}^{k}\right\}_{t \in\left[-1,-e^{\left.\tau_{k}-\tau_{k-1}\right]}\right.}$ in $B_{R}$. Hence, the pseudo-locality theorem [34, Theorem 1.5] implies that for $k$ sufficiently large, $\left\{S_{k} \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{R}\right\}_{\tau_{k} \leq \tau \leq \tau_{k-1}}$ is a family of $m$ smooth graphs over $\ell_{0}$.

By Proposition 2.7 (c), we can choose an ancient path of knuckle $\left\{p(t) \in B_{\sqrt{-t} R}\right\}_{t \leq T(R)}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Converting to the rescaled flow, define an angle function $\sigma:(-\infty, \bar{\tau}(R)] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\tau)=\partial_{s} \bar{\gamma}(p(\tau), \tau) \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\sigma(\tau)$ depends smoothly on $\tau$ since $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ does. Identifying $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ with $S O(2)$ we may view $\sigma(\tau)^{-1}$ as a family of rotation matrices $S(\tau) \in S O(2)$.

Claim 5: For any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $T=T(\varepsilon, R) \gg 1$ such that whenever $\tau<-T$, $S(\tau) \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{R}$ is $\varepsilon$-close to $\ell_{0}$ in smooth sense.
Proof of Claim 5. From the above discussion, we can find a large $K=K(\varepsilon, R) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $k \geq K, S_{k} \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{R}$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$-close in $C^{1}$-sense to $\ell_{0}$, for $\tau \in\left[\tau_{k}, \tau_{k-1}\right]$. Pick $T \geq \max \left\{-\tau_{K-1},-\bar{\tau}(R)\right\}$. Then for any $\tau \leq-T$, we can find $k \geq K$ such that $\tau \in\left[\tau_{k}, \tau_{k-1}\right]$. It follows that $d_{S^{1}}\left(\sigma(\tau), S_{k}^{-1}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$, where $d_{S^{1}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the arc-length distance on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, and $S_{k}^{-1}$ is regarded as a point in $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ via the identification $S O(2) \simeq \mathbb{S}^{1}$. This implies that $S(\tau) \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{R}=\sigma(\tau)^{-1} \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{R}$ is $\varepsilon$-close to $\ell_{0}$ in $C^{1}$-sense. The higher order closeness then follows from parabolic regularity.

Finally, we show that $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]=m$. Observe that for any $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
S(\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{0}-t}}\left(M_{t}-x_{0}\right)=S(\tau) \sqrt{\frac{-t}{t_{0}-t}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}} M_{t}\right)-\frac{x_{0}}{\sqrt{-t}}\right] \rightarrow m \ell_{0} \quad \text { as } \tau=-\log (-t) \rightarrow-\infty
$$

in $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}$-sense. Since the Gaussian weighted length of $\ell_{0}$ is 1 , by monotonicity formula we conclude $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]=m$.

Definition 3.4 (graphical radius and sheets). Given a smooth ancient embedded nontrivial curve shortening flow $\mathcal{M}$ with entropy $m$, let $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ denote the rescaled flow with respect to the spacetime origin. As a consequence of rough convergence theorem, there exists a smooth function $\rho(\tau) \geq 0$, called a graphical radius, such that $\rho(\tau) \geq 0$,

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} \rho(\tau)=\infty, \quad \rho^{\prime}(\tau) \leq 0,
$$

and such that $S(\tau) \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{2 \rho(\tau)}(0)$ is a disjoint union of $m$ graphs each of which is $(2 \rho)^{-2}$ close in $C^{\lfloor\rho\rfloor}$-sense to the line segment $(-2 \rho(\tau), 2 \rho(\tau)) \times\{0\}$; namely,

$$
\bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B(0,2 \rho(\tau)) \subset \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m}\left\{\left(x, u_{\tau}^{i}(x)\right): x \in(-2 \rho(\tau), 2 \rho(\tau))\right\}
$$

where

$$
\left\|u_{\tau}^{i}\right\|_{C}^{[\rho\rfloor}((-2 \rho(\tau), 2 \rho(\tau))) \leq(2 \rho(\tau))^{-2} .
$$

Each graph $\left\{\left(x, u_{\tau}^{i}(x)\right): x \in(-2 \rho(\tau), 2 \rho(\tau))\right\}$ is called a sheet of $\bar{M}_{\tau}$.
3.1. Applications. From now on, we assume that $\mathcal{M}$ has finite entropy $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. If $t \ll-1$ is such that $\rho(\tau)>10$ and $\bar{x}_{0}:=\frac{\left|x_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{-t}}<\frac{1}{10}$, then each sheet of $\bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{2}(0)$ has one and exactly one knuckle with respect to $\bar{x}_{0}$.

Proof. The smallness of the $C^{0}$ norm of sheets in $B_{2}(0)$ and $\left|x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{10}$ implies that each sheet has at least one knuckle with respect to $\bar{x}_{0}$. Smallness of $C^{2}$ norm of sheets in $B_{2}(0)$ and (14) implies that $\varphi_{s s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\cdot, t)>0$ and hence $\varphi_{s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\cdot, t)$ has only one zero on each sheet of $\bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{2}(0)$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $\mathbf{p}=\cup_{t \leq t_{0}}(\mathbf{p}(t))$ be a local-min-path of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$ as in Section 2.2. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow-\infty}(-t)^{-1}|\gamma(\mathbf{p}(t))|^{2}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.7 17 ,,$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}} \gamma(\mathbf{p}(t)) \in B_{2}(0)$ for $-t \gg 0$. For $-t \gg 0$ and $\rho(\tau) \gg 0$, Lemma 3.5 implies that each sheet of $\bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{2}(0)$ has one and exactly one knuckle with respect to 0 . Thus, the rough convergence theorem (Theorem 3.3) implies the desired result.

Corollary 3.7. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is not a trivial solution. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be an ancient sharp-vertexpath. Then there exists $T \ll-1$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}}|\gamma(\mathbf{v}(t))| \geq \rho(\tau)$ for $t \leq T$. In particular, $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}}|\gamma(\mathbf{v}(t))|=\infty$.
Proof. This follows from the curvature estimate of the rough convergence Theorem 3.3 in the graphical region.

Proposition 3.8 (number of knuckles). For any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, t \in I, M_{t}$ has at most $m$ knuckles with respect to $x_{0}$, that is, $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$ has at most $m$ local minimum points.

Proof. For some $t_{0} \in I$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, suppose there exist $(m+1)$ distinct minimum points of the function $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$, say $p_{0}^{1}, \ldots, p_{0}^{m+1}$. By Proposition 2.7, there exist ancient local-min-paths $\mathbf{p}^{i}$ of $\left(p_{0}^{i}, t_{0}\right)$ for $k=1, \ldots, m+1$, and such that $\mathbf{p}^{i}(t) \neq \mathbf{p}^{j}(t)$ for $i \neq j, t \leq t_{0}$. By Proposition 2.7 17), each knuckle $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathbf{p}^{i}(t)\right)$ comes from within $B_{2}(0)$ for $t \ll-1$. Thus, for $t \ll-1, \bar{M}_{\tau}$ has at least $m+1$ knuckles with respect to $\bar{x}_{0}$ contained in $B_{2}(0)$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 and the rough convergence theorem (Theorem 3.3 that for $t \ll-1$ there are exactly $m$ knuckles with respect to $\bar{x}_{0}$, a contradiction.

Corollary 3.9 (location of knuckles). With the same assumption with Lemma 3.5, $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ has exactly $m$ knuckles. In addition, every knuckle is contained in a sheet of $\bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{1}(0)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.8 .
Corollary 3.10 (number of fingers). Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.8, if $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{S}^{1}$ ), then $M_{t}$ has at most $m-1$ (resp. $m$ ) fingers with respect to $x_{0}$.

Proof. Every segment bounded by a pair of adjacent knuckles defines a unique finger. The preimage of $k$ knuckles decompose $\mathbb{R}$ into $k-1$ intervals and decompose $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ into $k$ intervals, respectively. Therefore, the assertion follows.

A critical point of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$ is said to be multiple if $\varphi_{s s}^{\left(x_{0}\right)}$ vanishes, and simple if otherwise.
Corollary 3.11 (saturation time). Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.8, there exists the saturation time $t_{*}\left(x_{0}\right)$ with respect to $x_{0}$ such that if $t<t_{*}\left(x_{0}\right)$ then $M_{t}$ has exactly $m$ knuckles with respect to $x_{0}$ and every critical point of $\varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\cdot, t)$ is simple.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.8 and the argument of Corollary 2.5, the set

$$
\left\{t \in I: \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\cdot, t) \text { has a multiple critical point }\right\}
$$

is finite. Take

$$
t_{*}\left(x_{0}\right)=\min \left\{t \in I: \varphi^{\left(x_{0}\right)}(\cdot, t) \text { has a multiple critical point }\right\}>-\infty
$$

## 4. Ancient low-Entropy flows

Definition 4.1. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a stationary cone. Then we call the space-time track $C \times \mathbb{R}($ resp. $C \times(-\infty, 0])$ a static (resp. quasi-static) solution to the Brakke flow.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mu$ be an integral one-rectifiable Radon measure in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{Ent}[\mu] \leq 2$. If the associated varifold $V_{\mu}$ is a cyclic minimal cone, then $\mu=m \mathcal{H}^{1}\lfloor L$ for some straight line $L$ and $m \in\{1,2\}$ or $\mu=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left\lfloor\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)\right.$ for some distinct straight lines $L_{1}, L_{2}$.

Proof. Since $V_{\mu}$ is cyclic cone, it is an even number of straight rays joining at 0 . The entropy bound implies that there are two or four such rays. If there are two rays, then since $V_{\mu}$ is stationary, they make up a straight line $L$. If there are four rays with directional unit vectors $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{4}$, since $V_{\mu}$ is stationary, we have $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{4}=0$. A simple calculation gives $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{2}=\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{4}=0$ up to a permutation. Thus, in this case, $V_{\mu}$ consists of two straight lines or a straight line with multiplicity two, which completes the proof.

Proposition 4.3. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a unit-regular, cyclic, integral, self-similar Brakke flow with $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}] \leq 2$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ must be one of the followings:

- If $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]=1$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-static line with multiplicity one;
- If $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{e}} \approx 1.52$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a shrinking circle;
- If $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}]=2$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a quasi-static line with multiplicity two or two crossing quasi-static lines.

Proof. Let $x \in \operatorname{spt}\left(M_{-1}\right)$ and let $X=(x,-1)$. Any tangent flow $\mathcal{M}_{X}$ at $X$ is a quasistatic solution over some stationary cone $C$. Since $\operatorname{Ent}[C]=\Theta(\mathcal{M}, X) \leq 2$, by Lemma 4.2, $\operatorname{Ent}[C]=\Theta(\mathcal{M}, X)$ is 1 or 2 .

Suppose that there is a point $X=(x,-1)$ such that $\Theta(\mathcal{M}, X)=2$. The entropy bound implies $\Theta(\mathcal{M}, X, r)=2$ for $r>0$. By rigidity part of Huisken's monotonicity formula, $\mathcal{M}-X$ is a quasi-static solution over $C$. This immediately implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is either a quasi-static line with multiplicity 2 or two quasi-static crossing lines in which case $x=0$.

Suppose that, for any point $x \in \operatorname{spt}\left(M_{-1}\right), \Theta(\mathcal{M},(x,-1))=1$. Then by Lemma 4.2 and Allard's regularity theorem [2], $M_{-1}$ is smooth. Furthermore, $M_{-1}$ is embedded; otherwise, any point of self-intersection has Euclidean density at least 2 and hence $(x,-1)$ has Gaussian density 2, which is a contradiction. By classification of smooth embedded self-similar solutions by Abresch-Langer [1], $M_{-1}$ is either a line or $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{2}}^{1}(0)$.
Proposition 4.4 (partial regularity). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient unit-regular, cyclic, integral Brakke flow with $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}] \leq 2$. Then there is either (1) no singularity, or (2) a round singularity, or (3) there is a point with density 2, in which case $\mathcal{M}$ is a union of two quasi-static lines passing through a common point.

Proof. Decompose $\operatorname{spt}(\mathcal{M})$ as $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{M}) \sqcup \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{M})$ where $X=(x, t) \in \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $M_{t} \cap B_{r}(x)$ is an embedded smooth manifold for some $r>0$. Suppose $X_{0}=\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is a singular point of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ being unit-regularity implies $\Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}\right)>1$. By monotonicity formula, $\Theta\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{0}, \infty\right)>1$. Any tangent flow at infinity $\mathcal{M}$ is unit-regular, cyclic, integral, and self-similar, since all these properties are preserved limits of Brakke flows. By the classification Proposition 4.3, $\mathcal{M}$ is either a shrinking circle, a quasi-static line with multiplicity 2, or two crossing quasi-static lines. Furthermore, applying the same
reasoning to any sub-flow of connected component of $\operatorname{spt}(\mathcal{M})$, the entropy bound implies that $\operatorname{spt}(\mathcal{M})$ is connected. The same classification reasoning applies to any tangent flow $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ at $X_{0}$.

If $\Theta(\mathcal{M}, X)=2$ for some $X$, then by the rigidity part of monotonicity formula and Proposition 4.3, $\mathcal{M}$ is a union of two quasi-static lines passing through $X$. Suppose $\Theta(\mathcal{M}, X)<2$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ has only round singularities by the classification of the tangent flow. By Allard's regularity theorem, for any small $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $r>0$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cap\left\{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left(t_{0}-4 r^{2}, t_{0}-\varepsilon r^{2}\right)\right\}$ is $\varepsilon$-close to shrinking circle $\sqrt{-2 t} \mathbb{S}^{1}$ in $C^{\infty}$ sense. Furthermore, $X_{0} \notin \overline{\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{M}) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\left\{t>t_{0}\right\}\right)}$ by Brakke's clearing-out lemma [10, 6.3 ] (cf. also [27, Proposition 4.23]). All other singular points have the same asymptotic behavior, so $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{M})$ is discrete. It follows from the connectedness of $\mathcal{M}$ and the structure of $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{M})$ that $M_{t}$ is smooth, embedded and connected for all $t<t_{0}$ and therefore $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{M})=\left\{X_{0}\right\}$.
Lemma 4.5. Two crossing-lines is not a limit of a sequence of embedded smooth CSFs in Brakke flow sense on $P(\mathbf{0}, 2)$.
Proof. Let $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ denote the crossing two lines meeting at 0 . Suppose that there is a sequence of embedded smooth CSFs $\mathcal{M}^{i}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{i} \rightarrow\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ in Brakke flow sense on $P(\mathbf{0}, 2)$. Given any $\varepsilon, \delta>0$ small such that $\varepsilon \ll \delta$, it follows from White's regularity theorem that for sufficiently large $i, M_{t}^{i} \cap\left(B_{2}(0)-B_{\delta}(0)\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-close to $\left(L_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.L_{2}\right) \cap\left(B_{2}(0)-B_{\delta}(0)\right)$ for all $t \in(-4,0)$ in $C^{2}$-sense. This implies that for all $t \in(-4,0)$, $M_{t}^{i} \cap B_{2}(0)$ has two connected components denoted by $\Gamma_{t}^{i, 1}$ and $\Gamma_{t}^{i, 2}$. Let $\mathcal{G}^{i, 1}, \mathcal{G}^{i, 2}$ be the spacetime profiles of such flows respectively. By proximity between $\mathcal{M}^{i}$ and $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ in Radon measure sense, for large $i, \Theta\left(\mathcal{G}^{i, j}, X, r\right) \leq 1+\varepsilon_{W}$ for any $X \in B_{1}(\mathbf{0}), 0<r<1$, $j=1,2$, where $\varepsilon_{W}>0$ is the critical constant for White's regularity theorem. Thus, $\Gamma_{t}^{i, 1}$ and $\Gamma_{t}^{i, 2}$ have uniform curvature bound and converge to $L_{1}, L_{2}$ respectively in $C^{2}$-sense as $i \rightarrow \infty$. But this implies $\Gamma_{t}^{i, 1}$ and $\Gamma_{t}^{i, 2}$ intersect, a contradiction to embeddedness of $M^{i}$.

In the following statements, we assume $\mathcal{M}$ has $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}] \leq 2$ and is not crossing quasistatic lines. By Proposition 4.4, we know that $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth up to the first singular time.
Definition 4.6. Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and let $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ denote a finger of $M_{t}$ with respect to $x_{0}$ with knuckles $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$, which could be identical if $M_{t}$ is closed. Then, the finger region $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is the connected bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ enclosed by $\partial \bar{B}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cup \Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ where $r=\max \left\{\left|p_{1}-x_{0}\right|,\left|p_{2}-x_{0}\right|\right\}$. See Figure 1 for illustration.

Note that, by definition of finger, the distance between the tip and $x_{0}$ is greater than $r$ and hence the finger region is nonempty.

In Section 2.2, we introduced the level-set flows of inside $K_{t}$ and outside $K_{t}^{\prime}$ of $M_{t}$. In case $M_{t}$ is closed, we take $K_{t}$ to be the compact domain bounded by $M_{t}$; in case $M_{t}$ is noncompact, we take $K_{t}$ such that $K_{t}$ contains the (unique) connected open region bounded by two sheets of $M_{t}$ in the $B(0, \sqrt{-t} \rho(\tau))$ as $t \ll-1$. See Figure 2 for an illustration. We choose the orientation of arclength parametrization $\gamma$ such that the unit normal $\mathbf{n}=J \mathbf{t}$ points from $K_{t}^{\prime}$ into $K_{t}$ as in Section 2.3. Define the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \times$ $I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi\left(x_{0} ; s, t\right)=\left|\gamma(s, t)-x_{0}\right|^{2}+2 t$.

Proposition 4.7. Suppose that a finger $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ of $M_{t_{0}}$ with respect to $x_{0}$ has two distinct knuckles and satisfies $\Omega_{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \subset K_{t_{0}}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.\Omega_{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \subset K_{t_{0}}^{\prime}\right]$. Then, there is a continuous one-parameter family of curve segments $\left\{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right\}_{t \leq t_{0}}$ such that each $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is a finger of $M_{t}$ that satisfies $\Omega_{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)} \subset K_{t}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.\Omega_{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)} \subset K_{t}^{\prime}\right]$.
Proof. It follows from the assumption of distinct knuckles at $t_{0}$ and Proposition 2.7 that there exist ancient paths of knuckles $p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; t\right) \neq p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ and tips $q\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ with respect


Figure 1. Finger $\Gamma$ with respect to $x_{0}$ with knuckles $p_{1}, p_{2}$, tip $q$, and (shadowed) finger region $\Omega_{\Gamma}$


Figure 2. Inside $K_{t}$ (shadowed region) and outside $K_{t}^{\prime}$ with respect to a finger of noncompact $M_{t}$. Inside $K_{t}$ contains the connected open region bounded by two sheets of $M_{t}$ in the the graphical region $B(0, \sqrt{-t} \rho(\tau))$.
to $x_{0}$ for $t \leq t_{0}$. By Corollary 3.11, $p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; t\right), p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ are the only knuckles for $t \leq t_{0}$. Then the curve segment on $M_{t}$ bounded by two adjacent knuckles $p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; t\right), p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ and containing $q\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ defines a finger of $M_{t}$ with respect to $x_{0}$, denoted by $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)$. By continuity and non-extinction of finger region, $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \subset K_{t}\left[\operatorname{resp} . \Omega_{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)} \subset K_{t}^{\prime}\right]$ for $t<t_{0}$.

Lemma 4.8. If $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \subset K_{t}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \subset K_{t}^{\prime}\right]$, then the tip of $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ has curvature $\kappa<0$ [resp. $\kappa>0$ ].

Proof. Recall that $\varphi_{s s}\left(x_{0}, \cdot, t\right)=2\left(1+\kappa\left\langle\gamma-x_{0}, \mathbf{n}\right\rangle\right)<0$ at the tip of $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)$. This implies that $\kappa\left\langle\gamma-x_{0}, \mathbf{n}\right\rangle<0$ at the tip. If $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \subset K_{t}\left[\operatorname{resp} . \Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \subset K_{t}^{\prime}\right]$, then $\left\langle\gamma-x_{0}, \mathbf{n}\right\rangle>0[$ resp. $<0]$ at the tip and hence $\kappa<0[$ resp. $\kappa>0]$ at the tip.

Theorem 4.9. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is an ancient smooth complete embedded nontrivial solution to the CSF with $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M})=2$. Then $M_{t}$ is strictly convex for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Suppose $\kappa\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)<0$ for some $\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ with respect to the orientation chosen in the setting. By Lemma 2.6, we can pick a center $x_{0}$ such that $\varphi\left(x_{0} ; s, t_{0}\right)$ reaches the local maximum at $s=q_{0}$. Let $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ denote the finger of $M_{t_{0}}$ with respect to $x_{0}$ with knuckles


Figure 3. The finger region $\Omega_{\Gamma}$ (shadowed in red) with respect to $x_{0}$ is contained in outside $K_{t_{0}}^{\prime}$ due to the negative curvature at $q_{0}$.
$p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right), p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right)$ and tip $q\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right)=q_{0}$. Furthermore, by choosing $x_{0}$ close to the center of osculating circle, we can assume $p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right) \neq p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; t_{0}\right)$. By Lemma 4.8, $\kappa\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)<0$ implies that the finger region $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \subset K_{t_{0}}^{\prime}$. See Figure 3 for an illastration. By Proposition 4.7, there exists an ancient continuous family of fingers $\left\{\Gamma\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right\}_{t \leq t_{0}}$ of $M_{t}$ with respect to $x_{0}$ with knuckles $p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; t\right) \neq p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ and tip $q\left(x_{0} ; t\right)$ such that $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \subset$ $K_{t}^{\prime}$.

Let $T \ll-1$ such that $\left|x_{0}\right| \leq \sqrt{-T}$ and $\left(\sqrt{-T} M_{T}\right) \cap B_{2}(0)$ is $10^{-1}$-close to 2-lines. It follows from Corollary 3.11 that $p_{1}\left(x_{0} ; T\right), p_{2}\left(x_{0} ; T\right), q\left(x_{0} ; T\right)$ are all simple critical points of $\varphi\left(x_{0} ; \cdot, t\right)$. Then, by implicit function theorem, when deforming the reference point continuously along the ray $\left\{\sigma x_{0}: \sigma \geq 0\right\}$, the critical points $p_{1}\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right), p_{2}\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right), q\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right)$ of $\varphi\left(\sigma x_{0}, \cdot, T\right)$ depend continuously on $\sigma$ in some neighborhood $S \subset[0, \infty)$ of 1 . Note that for all $\sigma \in S \cap[0,1], \gamma\left(p_{1}\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right), T\right), \gamma\left(p_{2}\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right), T\right)$ stay in distinct sheets of $M_{T} \cap B_{2 \sqrt{-T}}(0)$ and $\gamma\left(q\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right)\right)$ stay outside of $B_{2 \sqrt{-T}}(0)$. In particular, these three critical points stay apart for $\sigma \in S \cap[0,1]$ and we can reiterate the above argument. Thus, the standard continuity argument implies $S \cap[0,1]=[0,1]$. The continuous paths of $p_{1}\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right), p_{2}\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right), q\left(\sigma x_{0} ; T\right)$ define an isotopic deformation of finger regions $\left\{\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma x_{0}, T\right)\right\}_{0 \leq \sigma \leq 1}$. Therefore, $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, T\right) \subset K_{T}^{\prime}$ implies that $\Omega_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma x_{0}, T\right) \subset K_{T}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$. But, $\Omega_{\Gamma}(0, T) \subset K_{T}^{\prime}$ contradicts the choice of the continuous family $\left\{K_{t}\right\}_{t}$ in the setting. Finally, with $\kappa \geq 0$, maximum principle implies that $\kappa>0$ for nontrivial solutions to the CSF.

Theorem 4.10 (classification of low entropy flow). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient unit-regular, cyclic, integral Brakke flow with $\operatorname{Ent}[\mathcal{M}] \leq 2$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ must be one of the following:

- a quasi-static line with multiplicity one or two, or
- two crossing quasi-static lines, or
- a grim reaper, or
- a shrinking circle, or
- a shrinking Angenent oval.

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, all nontrivial solutions are smooth, embedded up to the first singular time. By Theorem 4.9, all solutions are convex. By classification of smooth embedded convex solutions, done.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient smooth embedded curve shortening flow in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M})<3$. By the rough convergence theorem 3.3, if $\mathcal{M}$ is neither a static line nor a flow of shrinking circles, then $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M}) \leq 2$. It follows from classification of weak flows, Theorem 4.10, that $\mathcal{M}$ must be a grim reaper or a shrinking Angenent oval due to embeddeness.

## 5. Asymptotic behavior of fingers and tails

5.1. Localized Gaussian density ratio of fingers and tails. In order to study the local behavior of tips and tails outside of graphical regions, we will isolate a finger or a tail from other parts of the flow using the localizing cut-off function that was first considered by Brakke [10] (see also [27, Chapter 4]).

Let $\bar{X}=(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2,1}, R>0$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, t \leq \bar{t}$, define the cutoff function

$$
\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(x, t)=\left(1-\frac{|x-\bar{x}|^{2}-2(\bar{t}-t)}{R^{2}}\right)_{+}^{3}
$$

where $(\cdot)_{+}=\max \{\cdot, 0\}$. Note that, for $t \leq \bar{t}$, the support of $\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(\cdot, t)$ is

$$
B\left(\bar{x}, \sqrt{R^{2}+2(\bar{t}-t)}\right),
$$

and note also that $\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(\cdot, t) \geq 1$ if and only if

$$
x \in B(\bar{x}, \sqrt{2(\bar{t}-t)})
$$

with maximum

$$
\max _{x} \Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(x, t)=\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(\bar{x}, t)=\left(1+\frac{2\left(\frac{t}{}-t\right)}{R^{2}}\right)^{3} .
$$

As we can see, the cutoff function $\Psi$ is more distorted as $t \ll \bar{t}$.
Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a proper CSF in the intersection of the support of $\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\left[\bar{t}-r^{2}, \bar{t}\right)$ for some $0<r<R$. For $\sigma>0$, we define the $R$-localized Gaussian density ratio of $\mathcal{M}$ around $\bar{X}$ at scale $\sigma$ by

$$
\Theta^{R}(\mathcal{M}, \bar{X}, \sigma)=\int \Psi_{\bar{X}, R} \Phi_{\bar{X}} d M_{\bar{t}-\sigma^{2}},
$$

where $\Phi_{\bar{X}}(x, t)=(4 \pi(\bar{t}-t))_{+}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-\bar{x}|^{2}}{4(\bar{t}-t)}\right)$ denotes the backward heat kernel. Then the weighted monotonicity formula for $\bar{t}-r^{2}<t<\bar{t}$
(28) $\frac{d}{d t} \int \Psi_{\bar{X}, R} \Phi_{\bar{X}} d M_{t}$

$$
=-\int\left\{24\left(1-\frac{|x-\bar{x}|^{2}-2(\bar{t}-t)}{R^{2}}\right)_{+}\left|\frac{(x-\bar{x})^{\top}}{R^{2}}\right|^{2}+\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}\left|\kappa+\frac{(x-\bar{x})^{\perp}}{2(\bar{t}-t)}\right|^{2}\right\} \Phi_{\bar{X}}(x, t) d M_{t} .
$$

implies that $\Theta^{R}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{X}, \sigma)$ is nondecreasing in $\sigma \in(0, r)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be assumed as in the rough convergence theorem 3.3 and let $\mathcal{G}=\bigcup_{t \leq t_{*}} \Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}} \times\{t\}$ denote the sub-flow of a finger or a tail of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the origin, where $t_{*}$ is the saturation time in Corollary 3.11.

Now, let $X_{0}=\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0} \ll-1, \quad \rho_{0}=\rho\left(\tau_{0}\right) \gg 0, \quad\left|x_{0}\right|>2 \sqrt{-t_{0}} \rho_{0} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}:=\mathcal{G}-X_{0}$ be the spacetime translation of $\mathcal{G}$ by $X_{0}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\left|x_{0}\right| / \sqrt{\rho_{0}}>2 \sqrt{-t_{0}} \sqrt{\rho_{0}}, \quad R=\frac{\left|x_{0}\right|}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\rho_{0}} r . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}, r, R$ be as above. For any $\bar{X}=(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in P(\mathbf{0}, r), \mathcal{G}_{X_{0}} \cap \operatorname{spt}\left(\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}\right)$ is a proper flow in $\operatorname{spt}\left(\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}\right)$.
Proof. By construction, $\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}$ is smooth embedded. It suffices to show that for any $\bar{t}-r^{2}<$ $t \leq \bar{t}, \partial\left(\Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}}-x_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{spt}\left(\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(\cdot, t)\right)$. Let $\partial \Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{p_{1}(t), p_{2}(t)\right\}$. According to our setting, for $i=1,2$,

$$
d\left(0, p_{i}(t)-x_{0}\right)=d\left(x_{0}, 0\right)+d\left(0, p_{i}(t)\right)=\left|x_{0}\right|+O\left(\rho_{0}^{-2}\right) .
$$

Here $d$ denotes the Euclidean distance. Whereas

$$
\operatorname{spt}\left(\Psi_{\bar{X}, R}(\cdot, t)\right)=B\left(\bar{x}, \sqrt{R^{2}+2(\bar{t}-t)}\right) \subset B\left(0, \sqrt{R^{2}+2 r^{2}}+|r|\right),
$$

and $\sqrt{R^{2}+2 r^{2}}+|r| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|x_{0}\right|\left(1+O\left(\rho_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)<d\left(0, p_{i}(t)-x_{0}\right)$ provided $\rho_{0}$ is large.

Proposition 5.3 (Gaussian density ratio upper bound, unrescaled version). Let $\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}, r, R$ be assumed as (30). There exists a numerical constant $C>0$ with the following property. If $\mathcal{G}$ is the sub-flow of a finger, then for any $\bar{X} \in P(\mathbf{0}, r)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{R}\left(\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}, \bar{X}, r\right) \leq 2+C \rho_{0}^{-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\mathcal{G}$ is the sub-flow of a tail, then for any $\bar{X} \in P(\mathbf{0}, r)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{R}\left(\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}, \bar{X}, r\right) \leq 1+C \rho_{0}^{-1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By rescaling the variable,

$$
\Theta^{R}\left(\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}, \bar{X}, r\right)=\int_{\frac{1}{r}\left(\Gamma_{\left.t_{0}+\bar{t}-r^{2}-x_{0}-\bar{x}\right)}\right.}\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right)_{+}^{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}
$$

For brevity, let $t^{\prime}=t_{0}+\bar{t}-r^{2}$. Note that the support of the last integral is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{r}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{t^{\prime}}-x_{0}-\bar{x}\right) \cap B\left(0, \sqrt{R^{2}+2 r^{2}}\right)\right\}  \tag{33}\\
= & \frac{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}}{r}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}} \Gamma_{t^{\prime}} \cap B\left(\frac{x_{0}+\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}}, \sqrt{\frac{R^{2}+2 r^{2}}{-t^{\prime}}}\right)-\frac{x_{0}+\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (30) and $\bar{X} \in P(\mathbf{0}, r)$, there exists a numerical constant $C>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}}{r} & \leq \sqrt{2}+\frac{C}{\rho_{0}},  \tag{34}\\
\frac{\left|x 0_{0}+\bar{x}\right|}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}} & \leq \sqrt{\rho_{0}}+1,  \tag{35}\\
\sqrt{\frac{R^{2}+2 r^{2}}{r^{2}}} & \leq \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{\rho_{0}}+C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{0}}} . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\rho_{0}$ is sufficiently large, then from (34), (35), (36),

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}} \Gamma_{t^{\prime}} \cap B\left(\frac{x_{0}+\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}}, \sqrt{\frac{R^{2}+2 r^{2}}{-t^{\prime}}}\right) \subset \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t^{\prime}}} \Gamma_{t^{\prime}} \cap B_{2 \rho_{0}}(0)
$$

which is a disjoint union of one (resp. two) curve(s) with curvature and the minimum of $|x|$ bounded by $\left(2 \rho_{0}\right)^{-2}$ if $\mathcal{G}$ is the sub-flow of a tail (resp. a finger). After rescaling using (34) and applying Lemma 5.2, the support (33) is a union of curves without boundary in $B\left(0, \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{R^{2}+r^{2}}\right)$ having curvature and the minimum of $|x|$ bounded from above by $\rho_{0}^{-2}$. Lastly, using Lemma A. 1 together with (36) counting the number of components of the support, we get the desired estimates.

We end this subsection by stating the rescaled version of localized Gaussian density ratio estimate.

Proposition 5.4 (Gaussian density ratio upper bound, rescaled version). Let $\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}}, r, R$ be assumed as (30) and let $\lambda>0$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is the sub-flow of finger, then for any $\bar{X} \in P(0, r / \lambda)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{R / \lambda}\left(\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}, \lambda}, \bar{X}, r / \lambda\right) \leq 2+O\left(\rho_{0}^{-1}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\mathcal{G}$ is a sub-flow of tail, then for any $\bar{X} \in P(0, r / \lambda)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{R / \lambda}\left(\mathcal{G}_{X_{0}, \lambda}, \bar{X}, r / \lambda\right) \leq 1+O\left(\rho_{0}^{-1}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.2. Asymptotic behavior of fingers and tails. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient flow and $X_{0}=$ $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}$. Define the regularity scale at $X_{0}$ to be
(39) $\quad \lambda^{\mathcal{M}}\left(X_{0}\right)=\sup \left\{r>0: \mathcal{M}\right.$ is a proper smooth flow in $P\left(X_{0}, r\right)$ with $\left.|\kappa| \leq r^{-1}\right\}$.

Theorem 5.5 (asymptotic behavior of a large curvature region). Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the sub-flow of a finger and let $\left\{X_{i}=\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}$ be a sequence such that $t_{i} \rightarrow-\infty$, $\left|x_{i}\right|>2 \sqrt{-t_{i}} \rho_{i}$, and $\liminf \sqrt{-t_{i}} \lambda_{i}^{-1}=\alpha>0$ where $\rho_{i}=\rho\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ is the graphical radius of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda_{i}$ is the regularity scale of $\mathcal{G}$ at $X_{i}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{X_{i}, \lambda_{i}}$ converges subsequentially to a grim reaper with unit speed in the $C_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}$-topology.
Proof. Set $R_{i}=\left|x_{i}\right| / 2$. By assumption,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{\liminf } R_{i} / \lambda_{i} \geq \liminf _{i} \rho_{i} \sqrt{-t_{i}} / \lambda_{i} \geq \alpha \liminf _{i} \rho_{i}=\infty . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is the sub-flow of a finger. By the rescaled version of Gaussian density ratio estimate, Proposition 5.4, and the weighted monotonicity formula, for any fixed $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{i} \sup _{\bar{X} \in P(\mathbf{0}, r), 0<\sigma<r} \Theta^{R_{i} / \lambda_{i}}\left(\mathcal{G}_{X_{i}, \lambda_{i}}, \bar{X}, \sigma\right) \leq 2 . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the limit of cutoff functions $\lim _{i} \Psi_{0, R_{i} / \lambda_{i}}(X)=\Psi_{0, \infty}(X)=1$ for any $X$, (41) implies that the Euclidean density ratio of scale less than $r$ around any point in $B_{r}(0)$ is uniformly bounded. By Ilmanen's compactness theorem [32, 7.1], $\mathcal{G}_{X_{i}, \lambda_{i}}$ converges subsequentially to an ancient flow $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $P(\mathbf{0}, r)$ in the sense of Brakke flow. By diagonal argument and relabeling the index, we assume that $\mathcal{G}_{X_{i}, \lambda_{i}}$ converges to $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on any compact sets as Brakke flows. By definition of regularity scale, we also assume $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \cap P(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ is a proper flow satisfying $\mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \{|\kappa(X)|: X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \cap P(\mathbf{0}, 1)\}=1 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, (41) together with Fatou's lemma implies that the $\operatorname{Ent}[\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}] \leq 2$.
Since $\lim _{i} R_{i} / \lambda_{i}=\infty$, for any $r>0$, for all large $i, \mathcal{G}_{X_{i}, \lambda_{i}} \cap P(\mathbf{0}, r)$ is proper. Passing to the weak limit, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is cyclic, unit-regular, and integral. It is clear that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{0}$ is not a finite Radon measure. Combining these facts together with 42, , Theorem 4.10 implies that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a grim reaper. Finally, by standard parabolic theory, such convergence holds in $C^{\lfloor r\rfloor}$-topology in $P(\mathbf{0}, r)$ for any $r>1$.

Theorem 5.6 (curvature decay of a tail). Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a sub-flow of a tail. There exist a time $T=T(\mathcal{M}) \ll-1$ and a constant $C=C(\mathcal{M})$ such that for any $X=(x, t) \in \mathcal{G}$ with $t \leq T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\kappa(X)| \leq \frac{C \sqrt{\rho}}{|x|} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\kappa(X)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{-t \sqrt{\rho}}} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For all sufficiently large $-t$, if $|x| \leq 2 \sqrt{-t} \rho(\tau)$, then $\sqrt{-t}|\kappa(X)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \rho^{-2}$ and hence $|x| \cdot|\kappa(X)| \leq \rho^{-1}$. Thus, suppose the opposite, then there exists a sequence $\left\{X_{i}=\right.$ $\left.\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right)\right\} \subset \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $\lim _{i} t_{i}=-\infty,\left|x_{i}\right|>2 \sqrt{-t_{i}} \rho_{i}$, and $\lim _{i} \lambda_{i}^{-1} r_{i}=\lim _{i} \lambda_{i}^{-1}\left|x_{i}\right| / \sqrt{\rho_{i}}=$ $\infty$. Following the similar argument of Theorem 5.5. $\mathcal{G}_{X_{i}, \lambda_{i}}$ converges subsequentially to a cyclic unit-regular integral ancient Brakke flow $\mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a sub-flow of a tail, Proposition 5.4 implies that $\operatorname{Ent}[\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}] \leq 1$. By Theorem 4.10, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a quasi-static line, which contradicts the curvature condition (42).

Next we take larger $-T$ such that for $t \leq T, \frac{1}{4} \rho^{-2} \leq C / \sqrt{\rho}$ and hence (44) holds true if $|x| \leq 2 \sqrt{-t} \rho(\tau)$. If $|x|>2 \sqrt{-t} \rho(\tau)$, then (43) implies (44).

## 6. Vertices

Given any ancient flow (or sub-flow) $\mathcal{M}, X \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times I$, and $r>0$, we denote $\mathcal{M}_{X, r}:=$ $\mathcal{D}_{r}(\mathcal{M}-X)$, where $\mathcal{D}_{r}$ is the parabolic rescaling by $1 / r$. Recall that an ancient path $\mathbf{p}=\bigcup_{t \in I} \mathbf{p}(t)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I$ such that $\mathbf{p}(t) \in M_{t}$ for all $t \in I$. Here, for brevity, we abuse the notations and write $\mathbf{p}(t)=(p(t), t)$ for a space-time curve.

Definition 6.1 ( $\varepsilon$-grim reaper). Given $\varepsilon>0$ and an ancient flow (or sub-flow) $\mathcal{M}$, we say $X$ lies at the tip of an $\varepsilon$-grim reaper at scale $r$ if $\mathcal{M}_{X, r}$ is $\varepsilon$-close in $B(0,1 / \varepsilon) \times\left[-1 / \varepsilon^{2}, 0\right]$ in $C^{[1 / \varepsilon]}$-sense to a translating grim reaper with width $\pi$ and tip on the space-time origin.

Moreover, we say $\mathcal{M}$ is $\varepsilon$-grim reaper-like along an ancient path $\mathbf{p}=\cup_{t \in I} \mathbf{p}(t) \subset \mathcal{M}$ (at curvature scale), if every $\mathbf{p}(t)$ lies at the tip of a $\varepsilon$-grim reaper at scale $|\kappa(\mathbf{p}(t))| \neq 0$.

Recall the definition of regularity scale (39). We now show that the ancient curve resembles the translating grim reaper around its sharp vertex paths.
Theorem $6.2(\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1.4). Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the sub-flow of a finger and $\mathbf{v}$ is an ancient path of sharp vertices on $\mathcal{G}$. Then, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $T \ll-1$ such that for any $t \leq T, \mathbf{v}(t)$ lies at the tip of a $\varepsilon$-grim reaper at scale $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(t)$, where $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(t)$ is the regularity scale of $\mathcal{G}$ at $\mathbf{v}(t)$.
Proof. Suppose not. It follows from Lemma 2.9 that we can find a sequence of spacetime points along $\mathbf{v}(t)$ that satisfies the hypotheses in Theorem 5.5. But the result of Theorem 5.5 leads to a contradiction.

Theorem 6.2 implies that for an ancient path of sharp vertices $\mathbf{v}$ on a subflow $\mathcal{G}$ of finger, there exists a positive increasing function $\omega:(-\infty, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \omega(t)=0$ and $\mathbf{v}(t)$ lies at the tip of $\omega(t)$-grim reaper at scale $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(t)$. From the structure of $\omega(t)$-grim reaper, in $M_{t} \cap B\left(\mathbf{v}(t), \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(t) / \omega(t)\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \cos \theta+\eta^{(0)}, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta(s, t)=\int_{v(t)}^{s} \kappa(\sigma, t) d \sigma$ and $\eta^{(0)}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\sup _{M_{t} \cap B\left(v(t), \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(t) / \omega(t)\right)} \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}\left|\eta^{(0)}\right|+\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{2}\left|\eta_{s}^{(0)}\right|+\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{3}\left|\eta_{s s}^{(0)}\right| \leq 2 \omega(t)
$$

Let $d(t)$ denote the Euclidean distance from the tip $\mathbf{q}(t)$. Then whenever $\lambda_{\mathbf{v}} / \omega>d \geq$ $20 \pi \lambda_{\mathrm{v}}$ with $\omega$ sufficiently small, we have a curvature estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} e^{-\frac{99 d}{100 \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}}}-2 \omega \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} \leq|\kappa| \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} e^{-\frac{d}{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}}}+2 \omega \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct computation using (45) shows

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{s} & =-\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-2} \sin \theta \cos \theta+\tilde{\eta}^{(1)}=-\kappa \sqrt{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-2}-\kappa^{2}}+\eta^{(1)}  \tag{47}\\
\kappa_{s s} & =-\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-3}\left[2 \cos ^{3} \theta-\cos \theta\right]+\tilde{\eta}^{(2)}=-2 \kappa^{3}+\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-2} \kappa+\eta^{(2)} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\tilde{\eta}^{(1)}, \eta^{(1)}, \tilde{\eta}^{(2)}$, and $\eta^{(2)}$ are error terms involving $\eta^{(0)}$ and its derivative up to second order. Furthermore, there exists a numerical constant $c_{0}>0$ such that in $M_{t} \cap$ $B\left(v(t), \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}(t) / \omega(t)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta^{(1)}\right| \leq c_{0} \omega \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-2},\left|\eta^{(2)}\right| \leq c_{0} \omega \lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{-3} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.3. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a sub-flow of a finger and $\mathbf{v}$ is an ancient path of sharp vertices on $\mathcal{G}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{v}_{0}:=\mathbf{v}\left(t_{0}\right)$ lies at the tip of a $\omega_{0}:=\omega\left(t_{0}\right)$-grim reaper at scale $\lambda_{0}:=\lambda_{\mathbf{v}\left(t_{0}\right)}$ with $t_{0} \ll-1$. Then, for each $t \in\left[t_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{2} \log \omega_{0}, t_{0}\right]$, $\Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}}$ has a single sharp vertex in $B\left(v_{0},-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0} \log \omega_{0}\right)$.

Proof. In $\mathcal{G} \cap P\left(\mathbf{v}_{0}, \lambda_{0} / \sqrt{\omega_{0}}\right),\left|\kappa(\mathbf{v}(t)) \lambda_{0}-1\right| \leq 2 \omega_{0}$ and, from (48) and (49), $\kappa_{\text {ss }} \neq 0$ whenever $|\kappa| \geq \lambda_{0}^{-1} / 2$. Thus, from (47) and (49), $v(t)$ is the unique vertex in any neighborhood of $v(t)$ with $|\kappa| \geq \lambda_{0}^{-1} / 2$ for any $t_{0}-\bar{\lambda}_{0}^{2} / \omega_{0}<t \leq t_{0}$. Next observe that if $\omega_{0}$ is sufficiently small by taking $t_{0} \ll-1$, then from (47) and (49), in $\mathcal{G} \cap P\left(\mathbf{v}_{0}, \lambda_{0} / \sqrt{\omega_{0}}\right)$ we have $\kappa_{s} \neq 0$ whenever $\lambda_{0}^{-1} / 2>|\kappa| \geq \lambda_{0}^{-1} \sqrt{\omega_{0}} \gg c_{0} \lambda_{0}^{-1} \omega_{0}$. Combining the results with both conditions on curvature together with (46), for any $t_{0}-\lambda_{0}^{2} / \omega_{0}<t \leq t_{0}, v(t)$ is the unique vertex in $B\left(v(t),-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0} \log \omega_{0}+4 \lambda_{0} \omega_{0}\right)$. Finally, we observe that for $t \in\left[t_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{2} \log \omega_{0}, t_{0}\right]$, $v(t) \in B\left(v_{0},-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0} \log \omega_{0}+2 \lambda_{0} \omega_{0}\right) \subset B\left(v(t),-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0} \log \omega_{0}+4 \lambda_{0} \omega_{0}\right)$ since the speed of vertex is roughly $\lambda_{0}^{-1}$. Therefore, the uniqueness of sharp vertex holds true in the desired spacetime neighborhood of $\mathbf{v}_{0}$.

Proposition 6.4 (improved curvature lower bound of a sharp vertex). Let $c_{0}$ be the numerical constant in 49). Suppose the rescaled flow $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is $\omega$-grim reaper-like along an ancient path of the sharp vertices $\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\tau \leq \tau_{0}}$. For any small $\omega_{0}>0$, there exists $T \ll-1$ such that for all $\tau \leq T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\bar{\kappa}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau))|=\sqrt{-t}|\kappa(\mathbf{v}(t))| \geq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{c_{0} \omega_{0}}} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty}|\bar{\kappa}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau))|=\infty \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose $T$ such that $\omega(\tau)<\omega_{0}$ for all $\tau<T$. Let $\bar{\chi}(\tau)=\bar{\kappa}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau))$. Substituting (48) into the evolution equation (20) yields

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \bar{\kappa}=-\bar{\kappa}^{3}+\bar{\chi}^{2} \bar{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\kappa}+\bar{\eta}^{(2)}=: Q
$$

around the tip $\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$. In particular, $Q(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau))=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}+\bar{\eta}^{(2)}$. Since 49) is scaling invariant, we still have $\left|\bar{\eta}^{(2)}\right| \leq c_{0} \omega_{0} \bar{\chi}^{3}$. Thus, if $\bar{\chi}(\tau)>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)) \leq-\bar{\chi}\left[\frac{1}{2}-c_{0} \omega_{0} \bar{\chi}^{2}\right] ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\bar{\chi}(\tau)<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)) \geq-\bar{\chi}\left[\frac{1}{2}-c_{0} \omega_{0} \bar{\chi}^{2}\right] . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the roots of the polynomial on the right-hand sides of (52) and (53) are $\bar{\chi}=$ $0, \pm 1 / \sqrt{2 c_{0} \omega_{0}}$. Applying the same reasoning as Lemma 2.9 yields the improved lower bound (50).

Following the idea in [14], we can make the classification of entropy-two self-similar flow quantitative.

Lemma 6.5 (quantitative rigidity of entropy-two self-similar flow). For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $0<\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)<\frac{1}{4}$ with the following significance. For any $R>10$, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a proper smooth embedded CSF in $P(\mathbf{0}, R)$ satisfying that for some $r \in(0, \delta R)$

$$
2-\delta \leq \Theta^{R}(\mathcal{G}, 0, r) \leq \Theta^{R}(\mathcal{G}, 0,2 r) \leq 2+\delta,
$$

then $\mathcal{G}_{0, r}$ is $\varepsilon$-close in $C^{2}$ to a line with multiplicity 2 in $B(0,2 / \varepsilon) \times[-4,-1]$.
Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Suppose the contrary, then there exist a sequence $R^{i}>10$ and a proper smooth embedded flow $\mathcal{G}^{i}$ which is defined in $P\left(\mathbf{0}, R_{i}\right)$ satisfying

$$
2-1 / i \leq \Theta^{R_{i}}\left(\mathcal{G}^{i}, 0, r_{i}\right) \leq \Theta^{R_{i}}\left(\mathcal{G}^{i}, 0,2 r_{i}\right) \leq 2+1 / i,
$$

for $r_{i} \in\left(0, R_{i} / i\right)$, but $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i}:=\mathcal{G}_{0, r_{i}}^{i}$ is not $\varepsilon$-close in $C^{2}$ to any line with multiplicity 2 in $B(0,2 / \varepsilon) \times[-4,-1]$. From the scaling property of localized Gaussian density ratio, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-1 / i \leq \Theta^{R_{i} / r_{i}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i}, 0,1\right) \leq \Theta^{R_{i} / r_{i}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i}, 0,2\right) \leq 2+1 / i \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Ilmanen's compacteness theorem for Brakke flows [32, the weighted monotonicity (28), (54), the fact that $\lim _{i} R_{i} / r_{i}=\infty$, and Proposition 4.3, up to a subsequence, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i}$ converges to a line with multiplicity 2 or quasi-static crossing two lines in $B(0,2 / \varepsilon) \times$ $[-4,-1]$. The latter case is excluded by Lemma 4.5 together with the embeddedness assumption. Convergence to multiplicity-two line in Hausdorff distance implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i}$ has two connected components (sub-flows) in $B(0,2 / \varepsilon) \times[-4,-1]$ for $i$ large. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i, 1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i, 2}$ denote these two sub-flows of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i}$ for all large $i$. Note that for $j=1,2, \Theta\left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i, j}, X\right) \leq 1+1 / i$ for all $X \in B(0,2 / \varepsilon) \times[-4,-1]$. By White's regularity theorem [43], up to passing to a further subsequence, both sub-flows $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i, 1}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i, 2}$ converge in $C^{2}$ to the same quasi-static line in $B(0,2 / \varepsilon) \times[-4,-1]$, which contradicts our assumption.

Proposition 6.6. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a sub-flow of a finger with a sharp vertex path $\mathbf{v}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $T \ll-1$ with the following significance: Suppose that $\mathbf{v}_{0}:=\mathbf{v}\left(t_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{G}$ lies at the tip of $a \omega_{0}:=\omega\left(t_{0}\right)$-grim reaper at scale $\lambda_{0}:=\lambda_{\mathbf{v}\left(t_{0}\right)}$ for $t_{0}<T$. Then $\Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}} \cap B\left(v_{0}, \sqrt{\left(t_{0}-t\right)} / \varepsilon\right)$ contains exactly two components that are $\varepsilon$-close in $C^{2}$ to a line with multiplicity 2 for $t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]$ where $t_{1}=t_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{2} \log \omega_{0}, t_{2}=t_{0}-\frac{\left|v_{0}\right|^{2}}{4 \rho_{0}}$, and $\rho_{0}=\rho\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ is the graphical radius at $t_{0}$. In particular, for $t \in\left[\rho_{0} t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}} \cap B\left(v_{0}, \sqrt{\left(t_{0}-t\right)} / \varepsilon\right)}|\kappa| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t_{0}-t}}\left(\leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{\lambda_{0} \sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Now we choose $\sigma=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0} \sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}$ so that $t_{1}=t_{0}-\sigma^{2}$ and take $r=\left|v_{0}\right| / \sqrt{\rho_{0}}$, $R=\left|v_{0}\right| / 2$ as (30). We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\delta<\Theta^{R}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{v}_{0}, \sigma\right)<\Theta^{R}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{v}_{0}, r\right)<2+\delta \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $t_{0} \ll-1$, where $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)$ is determined in Lemma 6.5. At $t_{1}=t_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{2} \log \omega_{0}$, by the $\omega_{0}$-grim-reaper structure around $\mathbf{v}_{0},\left|v\left(t_{1}\right)-v_{0}\right|=-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0} \log \omega_{0}+O\left(\omega_{0} \lambda_{0}\right)$. From estimate (46), $\Gamma_{t_{1}} \cap B\left(v_{0},-\frac{1}{8} \lambda_{0} \log \omega_{0}\right)$ has curvature $|\kappa| \leq 2 \lambda_{0}^{-1} \sqrt[8]{\omega_{0}}$. After rescaling by $1 / \sigma, \frac{1}{\sigma} \Gamma_{t_{1}} \cap B\left(v_{0}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}\right)$ has curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\kappa| \leq \sqrt[8]{\omega_{0}} \sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}=-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt[8]{\omega_{0}} \log \omega_{0} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}\right)^{-1} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min |x| \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.4, $\lambda_{0} \leq 2 \sqrt{-c_{0} \omega_{0} t_{0}}$. Thus, if $0<\omega_{0} \ll 1$ and $\rho_{0} \gg 1$ by taking $t_{0} \ll-1$, then $\sigma \leq \sqrt{c_{0} \omega_{0} \log \omega_{0} t_{0}} \ll 2 \sqrt{-\rho_{0} t_{0}}<r$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma / R<r / R<2 / \sqrt{\rho_{0}}<\delta \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

one hypothesis in Lemma 6.5, holds true if $\rho_{0} \gg 1$. Using Lemma A.1 with (57), (58), (59), we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta^{R}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{v}_{0}, \sigma\right) & \geq \int_{\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(\Gamma_{t_{1}}-v_{0}\right) \cap B\left(v_{0}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left.-\log \omega_{0}\right)}\right.}\left(1-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right)_{+}^{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}} d \mathcal{H}^{1}(x) \\
& \geq 2-\frac{C}{\left|\log \omega_{0}\right|}-\frac{C}{\rho_{0}}-C \sqrt[8]{\omega_{0}}\left|\log \omega_{0}\right|-C e^{-\frac{\sqrt{-\log \omega_{0}}}{32}} \\
& >2-\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $0<\omega_{0} \ll 1$ and $\rho_{0} \gg 1$ by taking $t_{0} \ll-1$. On the other hand, by Proposition 5.3 , the upper bound $\Theta^{R}(\mathcal{G}, X, r) \leq 2+\delta$ holds if $\rho_{0}$ sufficiently large.

Choosing a positive integer $N$ such that $2^{N} \sigma \leq 2^{-1} r<2^{N+1} \sigma \leq r$. Then applying Lemma 6.5 recursively on the dyadic scales $\sigma<2 \sigma<2^{2} \sigma<\ldots<2^{N} \sigma$ shows that $\Gamma_{t} \cap B\left(v_{0}, \sqrt{t_{0}-t} / \varepsilon\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-close to a line with multiplicity 2 for all $t \in\left[t_{0}-4^{N} \sigma^{2}, t_{0}-\sigma^{2}\right]$. The curvature estimate is an immediate consequence of this approximation.

Proposition 6.7. Let $\mathcal{G}=\bigcup_{t<t_{0}} \Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}} \times\{t\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a sub-flow of a finger. Given $\omega_{0}>0$, there exists $T=T\left(\omega_{0}\right) \ll-1$ such that for any $t \leq T, \Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}}$ has at most one sharp vertex that belongs to a vertex path along which $\mathcal{G}$ is $\omega_{0}$-grim reaper-like and that has curvature $|\kappa| \geq(-4 t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
Proof. Let $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$. We take $T$ as stated in Proposition 6.6. Suppose that there is some $t_{0} \leq T$ and vertices $\mathbf{v}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right), \mathbf{v}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)$ of $\Gamma_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ that belong to vertex paths $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}$ along which $\mathcal{G}$ is $\omega_{0}$-grim reaper-like and has curvature $\left|\kappa_{1}\right|,\left|\kappa_{2}\right| \geq(-4 t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $\lambda_{0}$ denote the regularity scales of $\mathcal{G}$ at $\mathbf{v}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$, which is less than $2 \sqrt{-t_{0}}$ from curvature assumption. By Proposition 6.6, at $t_{1}:=t_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{2} \log \omega_{0}, \Gamma_{t_{1}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ has two knuckles $p, q \in B\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right), \sqrt{2\left(t_{0}-t_{1}\right)}\right)$ with respect to $v_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$. From $\omega_{0}$-grim-reaper structure around $\mathbf{v}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p|,|q| \leq 2 \pi \lambda_{0}<4 \pi \sqrt{-t_{0}} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 6.3 that $v_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is not in the curve segment of $\Gamma_{t_{1}}$ bounded by $p$ and $q$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\mathbf{v}_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)$ lies on the curve segment on $\Gamma_{t_{1}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ bounded by $p$ and one end of $\Gamma_{t_{1}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ in the graphical region. Let $\mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{q}(t)$ denote the ancient paths of knuckles $p, q$ with respect to $\mathbf{v}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ for all $t \leq t_{1}$.
Since $\left|\kappa\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}(t)\right)\right| \geq(-4 t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $t<t_{1}$ from assumption, $v_{2}(t)$ needs to stay away from the graphical region $B(0,2 \sqrt{-t} \rho(\tau))$ for all $t<t_{1}$. Whereas from the proof of Proposition 5.3 with $x_{0}=v_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$, at $t_{2}:=t_{0}-\left|v_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{2} /\left(4 \rho_{0}\right), v_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ lies in $B\left(0, \sqrt{-t_{2}} \rho\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)$, and so does $p\left(t_{2}\right)$ owing to (60). It follows that $\mathbf{v}_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)$ lies in the curved segment of $\Gamma_{t_{2}}$ bounded by $p\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $q\left(t_{2}\right)$. By continuity, $\mathbf{p}(t)=\mathbf{v}_{2}(t)$ for some $t^{\prime} \in\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right)$. However, the $\omega_{0}$-grim-reaper structure around $\mathbf{v}_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ contradicts the $\varepsilon$-two-lines structure around $\mathbf{p}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ as claimed by Proposition 6.6.

Proposition 6.8. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. There exists $T \ll-1$ such that for any $t \leq T$, any tail of $M_{t}$ does not contain any sharp vertex that belongs to an $\varepsilon$-grim-reaper vertex path.

Proof. It follows directly from the curvature decay Theorem 5.6 (44) and Lemma 2.9.

Theorem 6.9 (uniqueness of a vertex of a finger). There exists $T=T(\mathcal{M}) \ll-1$ such that for $t \leq T$, each finger of $M_{t}$ has a unique sharp vertex, and the curvatures of the sharp vertex and the tip have the same sign. Furthermore, the angle differenc@ between two adjacent knuckles is $\pm \pi+o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ where the sign is the same as the sign of the curvature at the tip.
Proof. First, we show that each sub-flow of finger has at least one ancient path of sharp vertex. Let $T$ be the time so that Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 6.7 hold and so that $\rho(\tau)>10$ for all $t \leq T$. Suppose that a sub-flow of finger $\mathcal{G}=\bigcup_{t \leq T} \Gamma_{t}^{\mathcal{G}} \times\{t\}$ did not contain any sharp vertex path. This means that the maximum of $|\kappa|$ on $\Gamma_{t}$ occurs at the knuckles for all $t \leq T$. Thus, the curvature $|\bar{\kappa}|$ of the rescaled flow $\bar{\Gamma}_{\tau}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is uniformly bounded by $\frac{1}{100}$ for all $\tau \leq-\log (-T)$. As $\tau \rightarrow-\infty$, the rescaled flow $\bar{\Gamma}_{\tau}^{\mathcal{G}}$ merges into $B_{1}(0)$, a contradiction to rough convergence theorem 3.3.
Let $n$ be the number of fingers of $M_{t}$ as $t \leq t_{*}$ in Corollary 3.11. By Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 6.8, we know that any sharp vertex path is far away from the graphical region and the tails for $t \leq T$ for some $T \ll-1$. Thus, any sharp vertex path must be trapped in a sub-flow of finger for $t \leq T$. It follows from the first paragraph and Proposition 6.7 that $\mathcal{M}$ has one and exactly one ancient path of sharp vertices for all $t \leq T$.

[^0]Next, we show that the curvatures at the tip and the sharp vertex of any finger have the same sign for $t \leq T$. Suppose the opposite; by Proposition 2.7 (b) and Lemma 2.9 , the curvatures of the tip and the sharp vertex of the finger remain having opposite signs for all $t<T$. By the uniqueness of the sharp vertex (i.e., maximum of $|\kappa|$ ) on the finger, the curvature of the tip is bounded between 0 and the curvature of the knuckle, which results in a situation similar to that of the first paragraph. As $t \rightarrow-\infty$, the tip merge into the graphical region, a contradiction.

By the rough convergence theorem 3.3, the angle difference between two adjacent knuckles $\mathbf{p}_{1}(t), \mathbf{p}_{2}(t)$ with respect to the origin is $m \pi+O\left(\rho^{-2}\right)$ for some fixed integer $m$ as $t \ll-1$. Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is the sub-flow of fingers bounded by these paths of knuckles, assume that the unique sharp vertex $\mathbf{v}$ has positive curvature with respect to proper orientation such that $\mathbf{p}_{1}(t)<\mathbf{p}_{2}(t)$ in the arc-lengh parametrization.
We claim that $m=1$. Let $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$. By Proposition 6.6, if we choose $t_{0} \ll-1$ and $x_{0}=$ $v\left(t_{0}\right)$, then at $t_{1}:=t_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{2} \log \omega_{0}, \Gamma_{t_{1}}^{\mathcal{G}} \cap B\left(x_{0}, \sqrt{\left(t_{0}-t_{1}\right) / \varepsilon}\right)$ has two $\varepsilon$-linear components, where $\lambda_{0}$ is the regularity scale at $\mathbf{v}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\omega_{0}:=\omega\left(t_{0}\right)$. Thus, there exist exactly two knuckles $r_{1}, r_{2}$ of $\Gamma_{t_{1}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ with respect to $x_{0}$ with $r_{1}<r_{2}$ in the arc-lengh parametrization. Again, their ancient paths are denoted by $\mathbf{r}_{1}(t), \mathbf{r}_{2}(t)$. Since $r_{1}=r\left(t_{1}\right), r_{2}=r\left(t_{1}\right)$ are in the $\omega_{0}$-grim-reaper region around $\mathbf{v}\left(t_{0}\right)$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}^{r_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)} \kappa d s=\pi+O(\varepsilon) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition [6.6, (61) is valid for all $t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]$. Note that $r_{1}\left(t_{2}\right), r_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)$ are in the graphical region, so

$$
\left|\int_{p_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)}^{p_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} \kappa d s-\int_{r_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)}^{r_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)} \kappa d s\right|=O\left(\rho^{-1}\right) .
$$

This concludes the claim.

Corollary 6.10. The area of the finger region bounded by any finger of rescaled flow $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\pi+o(1)$ as $\tau \rightarrow-\infty$.

Proof. Let $\bar{\gamma}$ be the arc-length parametrization of a given finger of $\bar{M}_{\tau}$. Denote the two knuckles of the finger by $\mathbf{p}(\tau), \mathbf{q}(\tau)$. We connect $p(\tau), q(\tau)$ with a straight line $\bar{L}(\tau)$, and let $\bar{\Omega}(\tau)$ denote the bounded region enclosed by the finger and $\bar{L}$.

By divergence theorem, the area of $\bar{\Omega}(\tau)$ at the original scale is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\tau)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(\tau)} \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(x) d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{p(\tau)}^{q(\tau)}\langle\bar{\gamma}, \nu\rangle d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{L}(\tau)}\langle\bar{\gamma}, \nu\rangle d s \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the outward unit normal of $\partial \Omega(t)$ and $d s$ is counterclock-wise oriented. By differentiating $A(\tau)$ in $\tau$, using evolution equation of rescaled flow, and using integration by parts on the integral involving $\frac{d \nu}{d \tau}$ together with fact that the boundary terms and integral over $\bar{L}$ are uniformly bounded by $C \rho^{-4}$ for some constant $C$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \tau} A(\tau) & =-\int_{p(\tau)}^{q(\tau)}\left\langle\frac{d \bar{\gamma}}{d \tau}, \nu\right\rangle d s+O\left(\rho^{-4}\right) \\
& =-\int_{p(\tau)}^{q(\tau)} \kappa(s, \tau) d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{p(\tau)}^{q(\tau)}\langle\bar{\gamma}, \nu\rangle d s+O\left(\rho^{-4}\right) \\
& =(-\pi+A(\tau))+O\left(\rho^{-4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality uses the result about the angle difference of two adjacent knuckles of a finger in Theorem 6.9 and (62). Let $f(\tau)=A(\tau)-\pi$. Then $f(\tau)$ satisfies the ODE $\frac{d}{d \tau} f=f+E(\tau)$ where $|E(\tau)| \leq C \rho(\tau)^{-4}$ uniformly for all $\tau \ll-1$. Since $\rho$ is monotone
increasing, one can solve $f(\tau)=f\left(\tau_{0}\right) e^{\tau-\tau_{0}}+O\left(\rho\left(\tau_{0}\right)^{-4}\right)$ for $\tau \ll \tau_{0} \ll-1$. Therefore, after taking $\tau \rightarrow-\infty$ and then $\tau_{0} \rightarrow-\infty, A(\tau)=\pi+o(1)$.

We conclude this section by studying the edges. See Definition 2.2 for edges.
Definition 6.11. A complete smooth curve $M$ is called bumpy if all the zeros of $\kappa$ and $\kappa_{s}$ on $M$ are discrete and simple.

The following result shows that it suffices to consider bumpy curves in our study of finite-entropy ancient flows.

Corollary 6.12. For $t \leq T=T(\mathcal{M})$ as in Theorem 6.9, $M_{t}$ is bumpy.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 6.9 that the (maximal) number of local extrema of curvature remains constant for all $t \leq T$. Suppose that $\kappa_{s}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ has a multiple zero for some $t_{0} \leq T$. Applying Proposition 2.4 (b) to equation 21), for some $t<t_{0}, \kappa(\cdot, t)$ has more local extrema than $\kappa\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ does, a contradiction. Similarly, if $\kappa\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ has a multiple zero for some $t_{0} \leq T$, then by applying Proposition 2.4 to equation 19 , for some $t<t_{0}, \kappa(\cdot, t)$ has more nodal domains than $\kappa\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)$ does. There is one extra sharp vertex of $M_{t}$ in one of the extra nodal domains of $\kappa(\cdot, t)$, a contradiction.

We now prove the uniqueness of inflection points and flat vertices.
Lemma 6.13. Let $M$ be a complete smooth bumpy curve. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be an edge with two end points $v_{1}, v_{2}$ which are sharp vertices. If $\kappa\left(v_{1}\right) \kappa\left(v_{2}\right)<0$, then $\kappa$ is strictly monotone on $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma$ has a unique inflection point. If $\kappa\left(v_{1}\right) \kappa\left(v_{2}\right)>0$, then $\Sigma$ is convex and it has a unique flat vertex.
Proof. If $\kappa\left(v_{1}\right) \kappa\left(v_{2}\right)<0$, then the curvature $\kappa$ is strictly monotone. Otherwise, since $\Sigma$ is bumpy, $\Sigma$ contains an interior local extrema ( $\kappa_{s}=0$ ), a contradiction to the definition of edge. By the intermediate value theorem, $|\kappa|$ has a single local minimum when $\kappa=0$.

If $\kappa\left(v_{1}\right) \kappa\left(v_{2}\right)>0$, then $\kappa \neq 0$ on $\Sigma$. Otherwise, since $M$ is bumpy, we can find another vertex in the nodal domain in the interior of $\Sigma$, a contradiction. We may assume that $|\kappa|=\kappa$ with proper orientation. The unique minimum of $|\kappa|$ has $\kappa_{s}=0$, that is, it is a flat vertex. There are no other flat vertices; otherwise, we can find one sharp vertex between two flat vertices, a contradiction.

Proposition 6.14. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a non-compact ancient flow. Then, for $t \leq T(\mathcal{M})$ as in Theorem 6.9, $M_{t}$ has two non-compact edges. Furthermore, on both non-compact edges, their curvature $|\kappa|>0$ and monotone decreases to 0 as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. Let $\gamma$ be the arc-length parameterization of $\mathcal{M}$. Denote by $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{2}$ the ancient paths of sharp vertices on the leftmost and rightmost fingers of $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. Curved rays $E_{1}(t):=\gamma\left(\left(-\infty, v_{1}(t)\right)\right.$ and $E_{2}(t):=\gamma\left(\left(v_{2}(t), \infty\right)\right)$ do not contain any sharp vertex for any $t \leq T$. Suppose that the claim is not true, say WLOG $E_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ contains a sharp vertex in addition to the existing ones on all fingers for some $t_{0} \leq T$. By Lemma 2.9, Theorem 3.3, and Theorem 5.6 (44), this extra ancient sharp vertex path from the ray of $E_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ must escape into the leftmost finger as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. This contradicts Theorem 6.9. Thus, for $t \leq T, E_{1}(t)$ and $E_{2}(t)$ are non-compact edges of $M_{t}$. Since $M_{t}$ is bumpy for all $t \leq T$, by Theorem 5.6 (43) and non-existence of sharp vertex, $|\kappa|>0$ and $|\kappa|$ monotone decreases to 0 as $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$ on non-compact edges.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The numbers of fingers and knuckles are obvious by Corollary 3.11 . The number of sharp vertices follows from Theorem 6.9 and Proposition 6.8, and the
sum of the numbers of flat vertices and inflection points follows from Lemma 6.13 and Proposition 6.14.

## 7. Graphical Radius

In this section, we will establish lower bounds for the graphical radius of each time slice of rescaled flows, in terms of the profiles' $C^{2}$-norm on a compact interval. To state the main theorem, we first define the $\varepsilon$-trombone time.

Definition 7.1. Given $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right)$, we call $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ the $\varepsilon$-trombone time of an ancient flow $\mathcal{M}$ if for every $\tau \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$ the rescaled time slice $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ satisfies
(1) $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ is bumpy,
(2) each finger has a unique sharp vertex.
(3) the curvatures of the sharp vertex and the tip of each finger have the same sign,
(4) the angle difference $\theta$ between two adjacent knuckles satisfies $||\theta|-\pi|<\varepsilon$,
and every path of sharp vertex of $\mathcal{M}$ for $t \leq-e^{-\tau_{\varepsilon}}$ lies at the tip of a $\frac{\varepsilon}{100}$-grim reaper.
Remark 7.2. The existence of the $\varepsilon$-trombone time has been proven by Theorem 6.2, Theorem 6.9, and Corollary 6.12.

Theorem 7.3 (graphical radius). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ancient flow with $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M})=m \geq 2$. Then, given $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right), \mathcal{M}$ has the $\varepsilon$-trombone time $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ and there exists some $\delta \leq \varepsilon^{8}$ with the following significance: Suppose that for each $\tau \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$, there exists a rotation $S(\tau) \in S O(2)$ such that $S(\tau) \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{2}(0)$ consists of the graphs of m-functions $u^{1}(y), \cdots, u^{m}(y)$ satisfying $\left\|u^{i}\right\|_{C^{2}([-2,2])} \leq \delta$ for each $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$. Then, in the ball $B_{\rho}(0)$ of radius $\rho$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-4}:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left\|u^{i}\right\|_{C^{2}([-1,1])} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S(\tau) \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{\rho}(0)$ is a union of the graphs of $m$-functions $u^{1}, \cdots, u^{m}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u^{i}(y)\right| \leq(y+2)^{2} \rho^{-4}, \quad\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { for } \quad|y| \leq \rho, \text { and } \\
& \left|u_{y y}^{i}(y)\right| \leq 5 \varepsilon \rho^{-1} \quad \text { for } \quad|y| \leq \rho / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$.
7.1. Setting-up. We fix $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right)$ and a time $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$. For $i=1, \cdots, m$, denote by $\Sigma^{i}$ the edge of $S\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \bar{M}_{\tau^{\prime}}$ that contains $\left\{\left(y, u^{i}(y)\right):|y|<2\right\}$ respectively. By rearrangement, we may assume that the $(i+1)$-th edge $\Sigma^{i+1}$ is adjacent to the $i$-th edge $\Sigma^{i}$. Note that if the curve $S\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \bar{M}_{\tau^{\prime}}$ is closed, then $\Sigma^{1}$ is adjacent to $\Sigma^{m}$ by the arrangement. Furthermore, we assume that the $i$-th edge $\Sigma^{i}$ is on top of the $(i+1)$-th edge $\Sigma^{i+1}$.

For each $i$, there is a maximal closed interval $I_{i}=\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$, where $-\infty \leq a_{i}<-2$ and $2<b_{i} \leq+\infty$, such that we can extend the domain of $u^{i}$ to $I_{i}$ satisfying
(1) $\left(y, u^{i}(y)\right) \in S\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \bar{M}_{\tau^{\prime}}$ for $y \in I_{i}$,
(2) $u^{i} \in C^{\infty}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)$,
(3) $\lim _{y \downarrow a^{i}}\left|u_{y}^{i}\right|=+\infty$ if $a_{i}:=\inf I_{i}>-\infty$,
(4) $\lim _{y \uparrow \hbar^{i}}\left|u_{y}^{i}\right|=+\infty$ if $b_{i}:=\sup I_{i}<+\infty$.

Then, we parametrize $\Sigma^{i} \cap\left\{\left(y, u^{i}(y)\right): y \in I_{i}\right\}$ by $\gamma^{i}(y)=\left(y, u^{i}(y)\right)$ and we denote by $\mathbf{t}^{i}:= \pm \gamma_{y}^{i} /\left|\gamma_{y}^{i}\right|, \mathbf{n}^{i}:=J \mathbf{t}^{i}$, and $\kappa^{i}:=\left\langle\mathbf{t}_{y}^{i} /\right| \gamma_{y}^{i}\left|, \mathbf{n}^{i}\right\rangle$, respectively, its tangent vector, unit normal vector, and curvature that is compatible with the global orientation in Section 2.3. Next, we mark some points with analytic significance.
(1) Denote by $\gamma^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)$ the unique knuckle of $\Sigma^{i}$ (see Corollary 3.9). Note that $\left|k_{i}\right| \leq$ $\delta \ll 1$ (see Lemma 3.6).
(2) The quantity $c_{i} \in\left[k_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ is defined with the following significance. If $\Gamma^{i} \cap \Sigma^{i}$ does not contain an inflection point, then $c_{i}=k_{i}$. If $\widehat{\Gamma}^{i} \cap \Sigma^{i}$ contains an inflection point, then $c_{i}=b_{i}$, where $\widehat{\Gamma}^{i}:=\Gamma^{i} \backslash \cup_{j=i, i+1}\left\{\left(y, u^{j}(y)\right): y \in I_{j}\right\}$. See Figure 4 . Otherwise, $\left(c_{i}, u^{i}\left(c_{i}\right)\right)$ is the unique (see Lemma 6.13) inflection point of $\Sigma^{i}$.
(3) Let $\bar{d}_{i}=\sup \left\{z \in\left(2, b_{i}\right):\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{100}, \forall y \in(0, z)\right\}$. Note $\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(\bar{d}_{i}\right)\right|=\frac{\varepsilon}{100}$.
(4) Let $d_{i}=\sup \left\{z \in\left(2, b_{i}\right):\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right|<\varepsilon, \forall y \in(0, z)\right\}$. Note $\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(d_{i}\right)\right|=\varepsilon$.

Now fix $i$. Up to rotation and reflection, either $\Sigma^{i}$ contains a right-going tail $\Phi^{i}$, or $\Sigma^{i} \cup \Sigma^{i+1}$ contains a right-pointing finger $\Gamma^{i}$.


Figure 4. Right-pointing finger $\Gamma^{i}$ in which $\widehat{\Gamma}^{i}$ is the nongraphical part
7.2. Tail estimate. Let us consider the first case. We define $\rho>0$ by 63 .

Lemma 7.4. Suppose $\Sigma^{i}$ contains a right-going tail $\Phi^{i}$. Then, $\bar{d}_{i} \geq 15 \rho^{3}$ holds. Namely, $\Phi^{i} \cap B_{\rho}(0)$ is the graph of $u^{i}$ with $\left|u_{y}^{i}\right| \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. Remembering $\left|k_{i}\right| \leq \delta,\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right| \leq \delta \ll \varepsilon$, and $\left|\kappa^{i}\right|=\left|u_{y y}^{i}\right|\left(1+\left|u_{y}^{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{50} \varepsilon \leq\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(\bar{d}_{i}\right)-u_{y}^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{k_{i}}^{\bar{d}_{i}} u_{y y}^{i}(y) d y\right| \leq 2 \int_{k_{i}}^{\bar{d}_{i}}\left|\kappa^{i}(y)\right| d y \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.14, $\left|\kappa^{i}(z)\right| \leq\left|\kappa^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right|$ for $z \geq k_{i}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{50} \varepsilon \leq 2\left(\bar{d}_{i}-k_{i}\right)\left|\kappa^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right| \leq 3 \bar{d}_{i}\left\|u^{i}\right\|_{C^{2}([-1,1])} \leq 3 \bar{d}_{i} \rho^{-4} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption $\rho^{-4} \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon^{8}$ implies $\varepsilon \rho \geq \varepsilon^{-1} \geq 10^{4}$. Hence, we have $\bar{d}_{i} \geq 15 \rho^{3}$.
7.3. Finger estimates. Next, we deal with the case that $\Sigma^{i} \cup \Sigma^{i+1}$ contains a rightpointing finger $\Gamma^{i}$.

Lemma 7.5. If $c_{i} \geq \bar{d}_{i}$, then $\bar{d}_{i} \geq 15 \rho^{3}$ holds. If $c_{i} \leq \bar{d}_{i}$, then $\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right| \leq 2(y+1) \rho^{-4}$ and $\left|u^{i}(y)\right| \leq(y+2)^{2} \rho^{-4}$ hold for $y \in\left[k_{i}, c_{i}\right]$.

Proof. If $\bar{d}_{i} \leq c_{i}$, then by Lemma 6.13, curvature is monotone, and therefore we have $\bar{d}_{i} \geq 15 \rho^{3}$ as the proof of Lemma 7.4. Now, we suppose that $c_{i} \leq \bar{d}_{i}$. Then, as the proof of Lemma 7.4 , we have for $y \in\left[k_{i}, c_{i}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right| \leq\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right|+2\left(y-k_{i}\right)\left|\kappa^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right| \leq 2(y+1) \rho^{-4} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, a direct integration yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{i}(y)\right| \leq\left|u^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right|+\left(y-k_{i}\right)^{2} \rho^{-4}+2\left(y-k_{i}\right) \rho^{-4} \leq(y+2)^{2} \rho^{-4} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.6. If $c_{i}<b_{i}$, then $b_{i}>b_{i+1}$ holds unless $\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=\gamma^{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}\right)$. In addition, $\left(y, u^{i}(y)\right)$ is not an inflection point for each $y \in\left(c_{i}, b_{i}\right]$.

Proof. We suppose $\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right) \neq \gamma^{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}\right)$ and $b_{i} \leq b_{i+1}$. Then there is $p_{0} \in \Gamma^{i}$ such that $p_{0} \neq$ $\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)$ and $y\left(p_{0}\right) \geq y(p)$ holds for all $p \in \Gamma^{i}$. Consider the curve segment $\hat{\gamma}:[-1,1] \rightarrow \Gamma^{i}$ such that $\hat{\gamma}(-1)=\gamma^{i}\left(c_{i}\right) \hat{\gamma}(0)=\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)$, and $\hat{\gamma}(1)=p_{0}$. Note that $\hat{\gamma}((-1,1])$ has at most one inflection point, and $\hat{\gamma}((-1,0])$ is strictly convex. Moreover, the sign of curvature at $\hat{\gamma}(0)$ is the same as that at $p_{0}$. Thus, $\hat{\gamma}((-1,1])$ is strictly convex.

By the assumption, $u^{i}>u^{i+1}$ holds and we may assume the unit normal is $\mathbf{n}\left(\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=$ $(1,0)$ without loss of generality. Define a smooth angle function $\theta:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{n}(\gamma(z))=(\cos \theta(z), \sin \theta(z))$ and $\theta(0)=0$. Since $u_{y}^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=-\infty$ and $\hat{\gamma}([0,-1])$ is convex, we have $\theta^{\prime}<0$. Let $z_{k}:=\theta^{-1}(-k \pi / 2)$ for $z_{k} \leq 1$. Then, using the notation $\hat{\gamma}=\left(\hat{\gamma}^{1}, \hat{\gamma}^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for the position vector, we observe that $\hat{\gamma}^{2}\left(z_{1}\right) \in\left(u^{i}\left(\hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right), u^{i+1}\left(\hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right)\right), \hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{2}\right) \in\left(0, b_{i}\right)$, $\hat{\gamma}^{2}\left(z_{2 k+1}\right) \in\left(\hat{\gamma}^{2}\left(z_{2 k-1}\right), u^{i}\left(\hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{3}\right)\right)\right.$, and $\hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{4}\right) \in\left(\hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{2}\right), b_{i}\right)$. Thus, we have $\hat{\gamma}^{1}(z)<b_{i}:=$ $\hat{\gamma}^{1}(0)$ for $z \in\left(0, z_{4}\right]$. Iterating this process yields $\hat{\gamma}^{1}(z)<\hat{\gamma}^{1}\left(z_{4 k}\right)$ for $z \in\left(z_{4 k}, z_{4 k+1}\right]$. Thus, we have $\hat{\gamma}^{1}(z)<b_{i}$ for all $z>0$. This contradicts $\hat{\gamma}^{1}(1)=y\left(p_{0}\right) \geq b_{i}$.

Lemma 7.7. If $c_{i} \leq \bar{d}_{i}$ and $c_{i+1} \geq \bar{d}_{i+1}$ hold, then $\Gamma^{i} \cap B_{\rho}(0)$ consists of the graphs of $u^{i}, u^{i+1}$ with $\left|u_{y}^{i}\right|,\left|u_{y}^{i+1}\right| \leq \varepsilon$.
Proof. We recall that Lemma 7.5 says

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}_{i+1} \geq 15 \rho^{3} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we only need to exclude the case that $d_{i} \in\left(\bar{d}_{i}, \rho\right]$. By Lemma 7.6 we have $b_{i} \geq$ $b_{i+1} \geq \bar{d}_{i+1} \geq 15 \rho^{3}$.

Now, we first consider the case that $\Sigma^{i}$ has an inflection point. Then, by Lemma 6.13 $\left|\kappa^{i}(y)\right|$ increases in $\left\{y \geq c_{i}\right\}$, and thus by mean value theorem, $\left|\kappa^{i}(y)\right| \geq \frac{99}{200} \varepsilon\left(d_{i}-c_{i}\right)^{-1} \geq$ $\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon \rho^{-1}$ holds for $y \geq d_{i}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon \rho^{-1}\left(b_{i}-d_{i}\right) \leq \int_{d_{i}}^{b_{i}}\left|\kappa^{i}(z)\right| d z \leq \int_{d_{i}}^{b_{i}}\left|\kappa^{i}(z)\right| \sqrt{1+\left(u_{y}^{i}\right)^{2}(z)} d z \leq \pi . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, combining with $b_{i} \geq 15 \rho^{3}$ yields $1 \geq \varepsilon \rho^{2}$. This contradicts $\varepsilon \rho^{2} \geq \varepsilon^{-3} \geq 10^{12}$.
Next, we assume that $\Sigma^{i}$ is convex. Recall that in such case $k_{i}=c_{i}$. From assumption, $u^{i}>u^{i+1}$ in $I_{i} \cap I_{i+1}$. Then, $\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(d_{i}\right)\right|=\varepsilon \gg \varepsilon^{2} \geq\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(c_{i}\right)\right|$ and $d_{i}>c_{i}$ implies $u_{y}^{i}\left(d_{i}\right)=-\varepsilon$. We consider the tangent line $\ell:=\left\{\left(y, l(y)=-\varepsilon\left(y-d_{i}\right)+u^{i}\left(d_{i}\right)\right): y \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$, which satisfies $l(y) \geq u^{i}(y) \geq u^{i+1}(y)$ for $y \in\left[d_{i}, b_{i+1}\right]$. Also, $\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for $y \in\left[k_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ yields $\left|u^{i}\left(d_{i}\right)\right| \leq\left|u^{i}\left(k_{i}\right)\right|+\varepsilon\left(d_{i}-k_{i}\right) \leq \rho^{-4}+2 \varepsilon \rho$.

The tangent line $\ell$ intersects the horizontal line $\mathfrak{h}:=\left\{\left(y,-15 \rho^{3} \frac{\varepsilon}{100}-\varepsilon^{8}\right): y \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ at $\left(\bar{y},-15 \rho^{3} \frac{\varepsilon}{100}-\varepsilon^{8}\right)$, with $\bar{y}=d_{i}+\frac{u^{i}\left(d_{i}\right)}{\varepsilon}+\frac{15 \rho^{3}}{100}+\varepsilon^{7} \leq 3 \rho+\frac{3}{20} \rho^{3}+2 \varepsilon^{7} \leq \rho^{3} \leq \bar{d}_{i+1}$. Since $\Sigma^{i}$ is convex and $\Gamma^{i}$ is embedded, $\Sigma^{i}$ lies on the left of $\ell$ and on the top of $\mathfrak{h}$, which implies that

$$
b_{i} \leq \bar{y} \leq \bar{d}_{i+1}<b_{i+1},
$$

contradicts Lemma 7.6. See Figure 5 .
Lemma 7.8. If $c_{i} \leq \bar{d}_{i}$ and $c_{i+1} \leq \bar{d}_{i+1}$ hold, then $\Gamma^{i} \cap B_{\rho}(0)$ consists of the graphs of $u^{i}, u^{i+1}$ with $\left|u_{y}^{i}\right|,\left|u_{y}^{i+1}\right| \leq \varepsilon$.
Proof. Lemma 7.6 implies that either $b_{i}>b_{i+1}$, or $\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=\gamma^{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}\right)$. By the uniqueness of inflection point (Lemma 6.13), $b_{i}>b_{i+1}$ is impossible. Hence, we are in the situation of $\gamma^{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=\gamma^{i+1}\left(b_{i+1}\right)$. We may assume $u^{i} \geq u^{i+1}$ and denote $b:=b_{i}=b_{i+1}$. Since $c_{i} \leq \bar{d}_{i}$, $c_{i+1} \leq \bar{d}_{i+1}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{i}:=\cup_{j=i, i+1}\left\{\gamma^{j}(y): y \in\left[c_{j}, b\right]\right\}$ is convex, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}^{i}}|\kappa| d s \leq \pi+\frac{3}{100} \varepsilon . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5. The problematic figure that contradicts Lemma 7.6
By Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.7, choosing sufficiently negative $T$ we have a unique vertex $p \in \Gamma^{i}$ of the finger, and $\left(\Gamma^{i}-p\right)|\kappa(p)|$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{100}$-close in $B\left(0, \frac{100}{\varepsilon}\right)$ to a grim reaper curve with unit speed whose tip is the origin. Hence, by (70),

$$
\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}^{i} \backslash B\left(p, \frac{100}{\varepsilon \mid \kappa(p))}\right)}|\kappa| d s \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{10}
$$

Therefore, for $0 \leq y \leq b-\frac{200}{\varepsilon|\kappa(p)|}$, we have

$$
\left|u_{y}^{i}(y)\right| \leq\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(c_{i}\right)\right|+\int_{c_{i}}^{b-\frac{200}{\varepsilon \kappa \kappa(p) \mid}}\left|u_{y y}^{i}(z)\right| d z \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{100}+\frac{\varepsilon}{10} \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Similarly, $\left|u_{y}^{i+1}\right| \leq \varepsilon$. Note that by Proposition 6.7, we have $|\kappa(p)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for negative enough $T$, Hence, it is enough to show that $b \geq \rho+10 e^{100 / \varepsilon}$.


Figure 6. Area comparison between finger region and triangle $\Delta$
Now, we claim that $b \geq 2 \rho$. If the claim is true, then by choosing $\delta \leq 10^{-4} e^{-400 / \varepsilon}$ we can complete the proof. To prove the claim, we only need to consider the case $c_{i}, c_{i+1} \leq 2 \rho$, because $b \geq c_{i}, c_{i+1}$. For each $j=i, i+1$ we define a function $v^{j}$ by $v^{j}=u^{j}$ for $y \leq c_{j}$ and $v^{j}=u_{y}^{j}\left(c_{j}\right) y+u^{j}\left(c_{j}\right)$. Then, we have $u^{i} \leq v^{i}$ and $u^{i+1} \geq v^{i+1}$. Thus, the region $\Delta:=\left\{(y, z): 0 \leq y \leq b, v^{i+1}(y) \leq z \leq v^{i}(y)\right\}$ includes the finger $\Gamma^{i}$. Hence, by Corollary 6.10 we have the area lower bound $\operatorname{Area}(\Delta) \geq 3$ for sufficiently negative $T$. Using $\left|v^{i}-v^{\imath+1}\right| \leq\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{i+1}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Area}(\Delta) \leq \int_{0}^{b}\left|v^{i}(y)\right|+\left|v^{i+1}(y)\right| d y \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{4} \overline{\int_{0}^{b}}\left|v^{j}(y)\right| d y \leq \int_{0}^{c_{j}}(y+2)^{2} d y+\int_{c_{j}}^{b} 2\left(c_{j}+1\right) y+\left(c_{j}+2\right)^{2} d y \leq 10 \rho^{3}+3 \rho b^{2} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=i, i+1$. Thus, $3 \rho^{4} \leq 20 \rho^{3}+6 \rho b^{2}$ and therefore $\rho^{3} \leq 3 b^{2}$. This completes the proof of the claim.

### 7.4. Proof of Theorem 7.3.

Proof of Theorem [7.3. As discussed in Remark 7.2, $\mathcal{M}$ has the $\varepsilon$-trombone time does exist. Then, for each fixed $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$, the profiles $u^{i}$ satisfy the gradient estimate $\left|u_{y}^{i}\right| \leq \varepsilon$ in $B_{\rho}(0)$ by Lemma 7.5, Lemma 7.7, and Lemma 7.8.

Next, we show $\left|u^{i}\right| \leq(y+2)^{2} \rho^{-4}$. Consider a finger $\Gamma^{i} \subset\left(\Sigma^{i} \cup \Sigma^{i+1}\right)$. We may assume $u^{i} \geq u^{i+1}$ and recall the profile functions $v^{i}, v^{i+1}$ for the tangent lines in the proof of Lemma 7.8. Note that for each $j=i, i+1, v^{i}$ is well-defined on the interval $\left[k_{j}, \rho\right]$ even if $c_{j} \geq \bar{b}_{j}$ by Lemma 7.5. Thus, we have $v^{i+1} \leq u^{i+1} \leq u^{i} \leq v^{i}$. Hence, observing $\left|v^{i}\right|,\left|v^{i+1}\right| \leq(y+2)^{2} \rho^{-4}$, the estimate for $\left|u^{i}\right|$ follows.

Finally, we show $\left|u_{y y}^{i}\right| \leq 5 \varepsilon \rho^{-1}$ for $|y| \leq \rho / 2$. We have $\left|u_{y y}^{i}\right| \leq 2\left|\kappa^{i}\right| \leq 2 \rho^{-4}$ holds for $y \leq c_{i}$. Suppose $\left|u_{y y}^{i}\left(y_{i}\right)\right|=5 \varepsilon \rho^{-1}$ for some $y_{i} \in\left[c_{i}, \rho / 2\right]$. Then, the curvature monotonicity implies $\left|u_{y y}^{i}\left(y_{i}\right)\right| \geq 4 \varepsilon \rho^{-1}$ for $y \in\left[y_{i}, \rho\right]$, and therefore $\left|u_{y}^{i}\left(y_{i}\right)-u_{y}^{i}(\rho)\right| \geq 2 \varepsilon$, a contradiction.

## 8. Uniqueness of tangent flow

In this section, we show that the rescaled flow exponentially fast converges to a unique tangent flow by using the method of Allard-Almgren. Since we already classified lowentropy flows, we assume in this section that $\mathcal{M}$ is a nontrivial ancient flow with $\operatorname{Ent}(\mathcal{M})=$ $m \geq 2$.
8.1. Setting up spectral analysis. Suppose that the graph of a function $u: I \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is a rescaled curve shortening flow. Then, the profile $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tau}=\frac{u_{y y}}{1+u_{y}^{2}}-\frac{y}{2} u_{y}+\frac{u}{2}=\mathcal{L} u-\frac{u_{y}^{2} u_{y y}}{1+u_{y}^{2}}, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}:=\partial_{y}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y \partial_{y}+\frac{1}{2} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f g}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4}} d y, \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines the Gaussian $L^{2}$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $\mathcal{L}-\frac{1}{2}$ is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, $\mathcal{L}$ has an eigendecomposition of the Gaussian $L^{2}$-space. In particular, $\varphi_{1}(y):=1$ is the only unstable eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$, and $\varphi_{2}(y):=2^{-\frac{1}{2}} y$ is the only neutral eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, we can directly compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\|\varphi_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}=1, \quad \mathcal{L} \varphi_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{1}=0, \quad \mathcal{L} \varphi_{2}=0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define the projections $P_{+}, P_{0}, P_{-}$to the unstable, neutral, stable spaces, respectively. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+} f:=\left\langle\varphi_{1}, f\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_{1}, \quad P_{0} f:=\left\langle\varphi_{2}, f\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_{2}, \quad P_{-} f:=f-P_{+} f-P_{0} f . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varphi_{3}(y):=2^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(y^{2}-2\right)$ is the stable eigenfunction with the least positive eigenvalue $\lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P_{-} f, \mathcal{L} P_{-} f\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{-} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the profile of a nontrivial rescaled ancient flow is not an entire function. Hence, we consider a cut-off function $0 \leq \eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(s) \equiv 1$ for $|s| \leq 1, \eta(s) \equiv 0$ for $|s| \geq 2$, and $\left|\eta^{\prime}\right|,\left|\eta^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then, given $r \geq 1$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(y, \tau):=u(y, \tau) \eta(y / r), \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u, r):=\hat{u}_{\tau}-\mathcal{L} \hat{u} . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{\tau}$ the space-time region in $\mathbb{R} \times(-\infty, 0]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{r, s}^{\tau}:=(-r, r) \times(\tau-s, \tau] . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 8.1. We say that a rescaled ancient flow $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\delta$-linear with multiplicity $m$ at time $\tau^{\prime}$ if there exist some functions $u^{i}: \mathcal{P}_{4,2}^{\tau^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i=1, \cdots, m$ such that for each $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-2, \tau^{\prime}\right], \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{4}(0)$ consists of the graphs of profiles $u^{1}(\cdot, \tau), \cdots, u^{m}(\cdot, \tau)$ and $\left\|u^{i}\right\|_{C^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{4,2}^{\tau^{\prime}}\right)} \leq \delta$ holds for every $i=1, \cdots, m$.

To deal with $u^{1}, \cdots, u^{m}$ together, we use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=\left(u^{1}, \cdots, u^{m}\right) . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u^{i}$ are profiles of a flow, then we call $\mathbf{u}$ a profile bundle of the flow. Also, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i}(\tau):=\left\langle\hat{u}^{i}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad b^{i}(\tau):=\left\langle\hat{u}^{i}, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

8.2. Improvement of flatness. Let us show that the profiles become closer to linear functions in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ as $\tau \rightarrow-\infty$. We begin by gluing the domains $\mathcal{P}_{4,2}^{\tau}$ of the profiles $u^{i}$ in order to extend the domains with a fixed rotation.

Proposition 8.2. There are numeric constants $C_{0}>1$ and $\sigma_{0} \in(0,1)$ with the following significance. Suppose that for some $\tau_{1} \ll-1$ and $L \geq 2$, every $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{1}$ has a rotation $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \in S O(2)$ such that $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\delta$-linear with multiplicity $m$ at $\tau^{\prime}$ where $\delta \leq \sigma_{0} / L$. Then, there is a profile bundle $\mathbf{u}: \mathcal{P}_{3,4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{3}(0)\right) \subset\{(y, \mathbf{u}(y, \tau)):|y| \leq 3\}, \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{3,4 L}^{\tau}\right)} \leq C_{0} L \delta, \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-4 L, \tau^{\prime}\right]$.
Proof. There are $S_{\tau^{\prime}}, S_{\tau^{\prime}-1}, \cdots, S_{\tau^{\prime}-4 L+2} \in S O(2)$ such that for each $j=0, \cdots, 4 L-2$, $S_{\tau^{\prime}-j} \bar{M}_{\tau} \cap B_{4}(0)$ consists of the graph of a profile bundle $\mathbf{u}^{j}: \mathcal{P}_{4,2}^{\tau^{\prime}-j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ satisfying $\left\|\mathbf{u}^{j}\right\|_{C^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{4,2}^{\tau^{\prime}-j}\right)} \leq \delta$. Let $\mathbf{u}^{j}:=\left(u^{1, j}, \cdots, u^{m, j}\right)$ and denote by $\Gamma^{1, j}$ the graph of $u^{1, j}$. Then, we may even assume $u^{1, j}>\cdots>u^{m, j}$. and $S_{\tau^{\prime}-j}^{-1} \Gamma_{\tau}^{1, j}=S_{\tau^{\prime}-j+1}^{-1} \Gamma_{\tau}^{1, j-1}$ in the overlapping interval $\left[\tau^{\prime}-j-1, \tau^{\prime}-j\right]$. Thanks to the overlapping interval, we have $\left|S_{\tau^{\prime}-j}-S_{\tau^{\prime}-j+1}\right| \leq$ $C \delta$. Therefore, remembering $\delta L \ll 1$, we have $\left|S_{\tau^{\prime}}-S_{\tau^{\prime}-j}\right| \leq C L \delta$ for all $j=0, \cdots, 4 L-2$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 8.3. Given $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right)$, there is some constant $\sigma_{1}(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon) \leq C_{0} \sigma_{0}$ with the following significance. Let $\tau_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the $\varepsilon$-trombone time. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{3,4 L}^{\tau_{2}^{\prime}}\right)} \leq(4 r)^{-4} \leq \sigma_{1}, \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}, r \geq 100$, and $L \geq 2$. Then, for each $i=1, \cdots, m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{i}\right| \leq(4 r)^{-4}(|y|+2)^{2}, \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left|u_{y}^{i}\right| \leq \varepsilon,
$$

$$
\left|u_{y y}^{i}\right| \leq \frac{5 \varepsilon}{4 r},
$$ hold in $\mathcal{P}_{2 r, 4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}}$. In particular, each function $\hat{u}^{i}:=\eta(y / r) u^{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C r^{-4} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-4 L, \tau^{\prime}\right]$, where $C$ is some numeric constant.
Proof. The graphical radius theorem 7.3 implies (87). Then, the first inequality in 87) yields (88). This completes the proof.

Lemma 8.4. We recall $\mathbf{u}, \delta, L, \tau_{1}$ from Proposition 8.2 and assume $\tau_{1} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right)$. Then, the profile bundle $\mathbf{u}$ of $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \mathcal{M}_{\tau}$ is well-defined on $\mathcal{P}_{2 r, 4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}}$, where $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{1}$ and $r:=\frac{1}{4}\left(C_{0} L \delta\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \geq 100$. Moreover, each $E^{i}:=\hat{u}_{\tau}^{i}-\mathcal{L} \hat{u}^{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{+} E^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+\left\|P_{0} E^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C \varepsilon\left\|\hat{u}_{y}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{-} E^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C \varepsilon r^{-1}\left\|\hat{u}_{y}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+C r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-4 L, \tau^{\prime}\right]$, where $C$ is some numeric constant.
Proof. For the purpose of brevity, we drop the index $i$ in this proof. We observe

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u, r)=\hat{u}_{\tau}-\mathcal{L} \hat{u}=E_{1}+E_{2}, \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}:=-\frac{\hat{u}_{y}^{2} u_{y y}}{1+u_{y}^{2}}, \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}:=-\frac{\eta^{\prime \prime} u}{r^{2}}-\frac{2 \eta^{\prime} u_{y}}{r}+\frac{y \eta^{\prime} u}{2 r}+\frac{u_{y y}}{1+u_{y}^{2}}\left(\eta(\eta-1) u_{y}^{2}+\frac{2 \eta \eta^{\prime} u u_{y}}{r}+\frac{\left|\eta^{\prime}\right|^{2} u^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the set $J:=\{r \leq|y| \leq 2 r\}$, remembering $r \geq 100$ and $\varepsilon \leq 10^{-4}$, Lemma 8.3 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq r^{-1} \chi_{J}, \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{J}(y)=1$ for $y \in J$ and $\chi_{J}(y)=0$ for $y \notin J$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \int_{r}^{2 r} r^{-2}(4 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{s^{2}}{4}} d s \leq C r^{-3} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}}, \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some numeric constant $C$.
Next, using Lemma 8.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle E_{1}, y\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right| \leq \int\left|y u_{y y}\right| \hat{u}_{y}^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4}} \leq C \varepsilon\left\|\hat{u}_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can obtain $\left|\left\langle E_{1}, 1\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right| \leq C \varepsilon\left\|\hat{u}_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$. Thus, combining with (95) yields (89).
To show 900, by using Lemma 8.3, we directly compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int E_{1}^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4}} \leq \int u_{y y}^{2} \hat{u}_{y}^{4} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4}} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{2}\left(\varepsilon+r^{-3}\right)^{2}}{r^{2}} \int \hat{u}_{y}^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4}} . \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|P_{-} E\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq\|E\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq\left\|E_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|E_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$, combining with (95) completes the proof.

Lemma 8.5. There is some numeric constant $C$ such that each $\hat{u}^{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} a^{i}-\frac{d}{d \tau} a^{i}\right. & \leq C \varepsilon\left\|\hat{u}_{y}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}},  \tag{98}\\
\left\lvert\, \frac{d}{d \tau} b^{i}\right. & \leq C \varepsilon\left\|\hat{u}_{y}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}},  \tag{99}\\
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} & \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(P_{-} \hat{u}^{i}\right)_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C \varepsilon^{2} r^{-2}\left\|\hat{u}_{y}^{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C r^{-3} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}}, \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

in $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-4 L, \tau^{\prime}\right]$.
Proof. We drop the index $i$ for the purpose of brevity. We begin by observing

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}=\left\langle\hat{u}_{\tau}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle\hat{u}, \mathcal{L} \varphi_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+\left\langle E, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{2} a+\left\langle E, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Lemma 8.4 implies the first inequality. Similarly, by deriving $b^{\prime}=\left\langle E, \varphi_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, we can obtain the second inequality. Lastly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=2\left\langle\hat{u}_{\tau}, P_{-} \hat{u}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\left\langle\mathcal{L} P_{-} \hat{u}, P_{-} \hat{u}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+2\left\|P_{-} E\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $k \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2}\left\langle\mathcal{L} P_{-} \hat{u}, P_{-} \hat{u}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq-\frac{3}{4}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mathcal{L} P_{-} \hat{u}, P_{-} \hat{u}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{4}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(P_{-} \hat{u}\right)_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, combining with $2\left\|P_{-} E\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 4\left\|P_{-} E\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(P_{-} \hat{u}\right)_{y}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+4\left\|P_{-} E\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, applying Lemma 8.4 completes the proof.

Lemma 8.6. There are some numeric constants $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right)$ and $C>0$ such that each $\hat{u}^{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}^{i}(y, \tau)-2^{-\frac{1}{2}} b^{i}\left(\tau^{\prime}-L\right) y\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq C r^{-10}+C e^{-\frac{1}{4} L} r^{-8} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-L-4, \tau^{\prime}-L\right]$.
Proof. We drop the index $i$ for simplicity. Since $\hat{u}=a+2^{-\frac{1}{2}} y b+P_{-} \hat{u}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} b^{2}+\left\|\left(P_{-} \hat{u}\right)_{y}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $\alpha(\tau):=\|P-\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-r^{-2} b^{2}$. Combining Lemma 8.5, (88), 100), (99), and (107) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{4}\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C \varepsilon r^{-2} b^{2}+C r^{-\frac{11}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} \leq-\frac{1}{4} \alpha+C r^{-10}, \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small enough $\varepsilon$. Since the above inequality implies $\frac{d}{d \tau} e^{\frac{1}{4} \tau} \alpha \leq C r^{-10} e^{\frac{1}{4} \tau}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{1}{4} \tau} \alpha(\tau)-e^{\frac{1}{4}\left(\tau^{\prime}-4 L\right)} \alpha\left(\tau^{\prime}-4 L\right) \leq C r^{-10} e^{\frac{1}{4} \tau} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-4 L, \tau^{\prime}\right]$. Since (88) guarantees $\alpha\left(\tau^{\prime}-4 L\right) \leq C r^{-8}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P \_\hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq r^{-2} b^{2}+C r^{-8} e^{-\frac{1}{4} L}+C r^{-10} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-3 L, \tau^{\prime}\right]$, where $C$ is some numeric constant.
Next, we define $\beta(\tau):=a^{2}-r^{-4}\left(b^{2}+\left\|P \_\hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)$. We again combine Lemma 8.5, (88), and (107), and choose small enough $\varepsilon$ so that we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime} \geq a^{2}-C \varepsilon r^{-4} b^{2}-C r^{-\frac{11}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} \geq \beta-C r^{-\frac{11}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} . \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, considering $\frac{d}{d \tau} e^{\tau} \beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\tau^{\prime}} \beta\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-e^{\tau} \beta(\tau) \geq-C e^{\tau} r^{-\frac{11}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} . \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-4 L, \tau^{\prime}-L\right]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \leq r^{-4}\left(b^{2}+\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)+C r^{-8} e^{-L}+C r^{-\frac{11}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} . \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, adding (110) and (113) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}-P_{0} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq 2 r^{-2}\|\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+C r^{-8} e^{-\frac{1}{4} L}+C r^{-10} . \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by remembering (88), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}-P_{0} \hat{u}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq C r^{-10}+C r^{-8} e^{-\frac{1}{4} L} \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-3 L, \tau^{\prime}-L\right]$.
Now, we consider $\gamma(\tau):=b(\tau)-b\left(\tau^{\prime}-L\right)$. Then, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|+\frac{d}{d \tau}\|P-\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq C \varepsilon b^{2}+C r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{8}} \leq C r^{-8} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using Lemma 8.5. 88), and 107). Since $\gamma\left(\tau^{\prime}-L\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma(\tau)| \leq \int_{\tau}^{\tau^{\prime}-L}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq 4 r^{-8}-\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}\left(\cdot, \tau^{\prime}-L\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|P_{-} \hat{u}(\cdot, \tau)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-L-4, \tau^{\prime}-L\right]$. Thus, (115) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{0} \hat{u}(y, \tau)-2^{-\frac{1}{2}} b\left(\tau^{\prime}-L\right) y\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}=|\gamma(\tau)| \leq C r^{-8} . \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, combining (88) and (115) completes the proof.

Theorem 8.7. There exist some numeric constants $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right), C_{1}>1$ and $\sigma_{0} \in(0,1)$ with the following significance. Suppose that there is $\tau_{1} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$ such that for each $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{1}$, $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\delta$-linear with multiplicity $m$ at each $\tau^{\prime}$ for some rotation $S_{\tau^{\prime}}$, where $\delta \leq \sigma_{0} / L$ for some $L \geq 2$. Then, there exists a small angle $\theta$ with $|\theta| \leq C_{1} L \delta$ such that the profile bundle $\mathbf{u}$ of $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is well-defined in $P_{8,4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{i=1, \cdots, m}\left\|u^{i}(y, \tau)-(\tan \theta) y\right\|_{L^{2}([-8,8])} \leq C_{1}\left(L^{\frac{5}{4}} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}+L^{-1}\right) \delta \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\tau \in\left[\tau^{\prime}-L-4, \tau^{\prime}-L\right]$, where $\mathbf{u}:=\left(u^{1}, \cdots, u^{m}\right)$.
Proof. By Lemma 8.4, u is well-defined in $P_{8,4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}}$, and we may assume $u^{1}>u^{i}$ for every $i \geq 2$ without loss of generality. Let $\beta_{i}$ denote $2^{-\frac{1}{2}} b^{i}\left(\tau^{\prime}-L\right)$ for each $i=1, \cdots, m$. If $\beta_{1} \geq \beta_{2}$, then combining $u^{1}-u^{2}>0$ and $-\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) y \geq 0$ for $y \leq 0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right| \leq\left\|\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) y\right\|_{L^{2}([-2,0])} \leq\left\|\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)-\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) y\right\|_{L^{2}([-2,0])} \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Lemma 8.6 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right| \leq C r^{-10}+C e^{-\frac{1}{4} L} r^{-8} . \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\beta_{1} \geq \beta_{2}$. If $\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2}$, then we can repeat the same process for $L^{2}([0,2])$ so that we obtain 121). Of course, we can obtain the same estimate for every $\left|\beta_{1}-\beta_{i}\right|$. Hence, remembering $L \geq 2$, Lemma 8.6 leads us to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}^{i}-\beta_{1} y\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq C r^{-10}+C e^{-\frac{1}{4} L} r^{-8} \leq C r^{-10}+C L^{-4} r^{-8} . \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $4 r:=\left(C_{0} L \delta\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, choosing $\theta$ by $\tan \theta:=\beta_{1}$ with $|\theta| \leq\left\|\hat{u}^{1}\left(\cdot, \tau^{\prime}-L\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \ll 1$ completes the proof.
8.3. Fast convergence. In this final subsection, we show that the rescaled flow converges to a unique tangent flow at the backward infinity at an exponential rate.

We first recall the standard rotation matrix to state the following proposition.

$$
R_{\theta}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta  \tag{123}\\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proposition 8.8. There are some numeric constants $C_{2}>1$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ with the following significance. Suppose that the graph $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ of a function $u \in C^{\infty}\left(P_{8,4}^{0}\right)$ with $\|u\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{8,4}^{0}\right)} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ is a rescaled curve shortening flow. Then, given $\theta \in\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, the profile $v$ of the rotated flow $R_{-\theta} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is well-defined in $P_{6,4}^{0}$ and the following holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{4,2}^{0}\right)} \leq C_{2} \sup _{\tau \in[-4,0]}\|u(y, \tau)-(\tan \theta) y\|_{L^{2}([-8,8])} . \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choosing $\varepsilon_{0}$ small enough, $v$ is well-defined in $P_{6,4}^{0}$. Also, the area between $v$ and $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ in a ball $B_{r}(0)$ is equivalent to the area between $u$ and $\left\{x_{2}=(\tan \theta) x_{1}\right\}$ in $B_{r}(0)$. Since the $L^{1}$-norm represents the area difference, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L^{1}([-6,6])} \leq\|u-(\tan \theta) y\|_{L^{1}([-8,8])} \leq 16\|u-(\tan \theta) y\|_{L^{2}([-8,8])} . \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the second inequality comes from the standard Holder's inequality. On the other hand, the local $L^{\infty}$ estimate (cf. Lieberman Theorem 6.17) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(P_{5,3}^{0}\right)} \leq C \sup _{\tau \in[-4,0]}\|v\|_{L^{2}([-6,6])} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the interior Schauder estimate (cf. Lieberman Theorem 4.9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(P_{4,2}^{0}\right)} \leq C\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(P_{5,3}^{0}\right)} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Combining the inequalities above completes the proof.

Lemma 8.9. There exist some numeric constants $\varepsilon \in\left(0,10^{-4}\right), L \geq 2, C_{3}>1$, and $\delta_{0} \in(0,1)$ with the following significance. Suppose that there is $\tau_{1} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$ such that for each $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{1}, S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\delta$-linear, where $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$, with multiplicity $m$ at $\tau^{\prime}$ for some rotation $S_{\tau^{\prime}}$. Then, for each $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{1}$, there exists a rotation $\bar{S}_{\tau^{\prime}}$ such that $\left|\bar{S}_{\tau^{\prime}}-S_{\tau^{\prime}}\right| \leq C_{3} \delta$ holds and $\bar{S}_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\frac{1}{2} \delta$-linear with multiplicity $m$ at $\tau^{\prime}-L$. Moreover, the profile bundle $\mathbf{u}$ of $S_{\tau^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ satisfies $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{3,4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C_{3} \delta$.

Proof. We recall $\tau_{0}, \theta$ in Theorem 8.7, and define $\bar{S}_{\tau^{\prime}}=R_{-\theta} S_{\tau^{\prime}}$. Then, we have $\left|\bar{S}_{\tau^{\prime}}-S_{\tau^{\prime}}\right| \leq$ $C L \delta$. Also, Proposition 8.2 implies $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{3,4 L}^{\tau^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C L \delta$.

Next, we combine Theorem 8.7 and Proposition 8.8 so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{4,2}^{0}\right)} \leq C_{1} C_{2}\left(L^{\frac{5}{4}} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}+L^{-1}\right) \delta . \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we fix $L:=4 C_{1} C_{2}+2$ so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{4,2}^{0}\right)} \leq\left(C_{1} C_{2} L^{\frac{5}{4}} \delta_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \delta . \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we choose $\delta_{0} \leq \sigma_{0} / L$ to satisfy $C_{1} C_{2} L^{\frac{5}{4}} \delta_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq 4^{-1}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. We recall $\delta_{0}$ and $C_{3}$ from Lemma 8.9. Then, by the rough convergence theorem (Theorem 3.3), there exists negative enough $\tau_{1} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}$ such that for each $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{1}, S_{\tau^{\prime}}^{1} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $\delta_{0}$-linear with multiplicity $m$ at $\tau^{\prime}$ for some rotation $S_{\tau^{\prime}}^{1}$. Then, by Lemma 8.9, each $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{2}:=\tau_{1}-L$ has a rotation $S_{\tau^{\prime}}^{2}$ such that $S_{\tau^{\prime}}^{2} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is ( $\delta_{0} / 2$ )-linear at $\tau^{\prime}$, and $\left|S_{\tau_{1}}^{1}-S_{\tau_{2}}^{2}\right| \leq C_{3} \delta_{0}$.

We repeat this process. Then, each $\tau^{\prime} \leq \tau_{k}:=\tau_{1}-(k-1) L$ has a rotation $S_{\tau^{\prime}}^{k}$ such that $S_{\tau^{\prime}}^{k} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ is $2^{1-k} \delta_{0}$-linear at $\tau^{\prime}$, and $\left|S_{\tau_{k-1}}^{k-1}-S_{\tau_{k}}^{k}\right| \leq 2^{2-k} C_{3} \delta_{0}$. Hence, there is the limit rotation $S_{\infty} \in S O(2)$ such that $\left|S_{\infty}-S_{\tau_{k}}^{k}\right| \leq 2^{2-k} C_{3} \delta_{0}$.

We may assume $S_{\infty}=R_{0}$ is the identity matrix without loss of generality. Since the profile bundle $\mathbf{u}^{k}$ of $S_{\tau_{k}}^{k} \bar{M}_{\tau}$ satisfies $\left\|\mathbf{u}^{k}\right\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{3,4 L}^{\tau_{k}}\right)} \leq 2^{1-k} C_{3} \delta_{0}$ by Lemma 8.9, rememerbing $\left|S_{\tau_{k}}^{k}-R_{0}\right| \leq 2^{2-k} C_{3} \delta_{0}$, the profile bundle $\mathbf{u}$ of $\bar{M}_{\tau}$ satisfies $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^{2}\left(P_{3,4 L}^{\tau_{k}}\right)} \leq 2^{-k} C$ for some $C$. Hence, there exist some $\delta>0$ only depending on $L$ and constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{C^{2}\left(B_{3}(0)\right)} \leq C e^{5 \delta \tau} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\tau \leq \tau_{1}$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon>0$, the graphical radius theorem 7.3 implies (5) for negative enough time. Also, given $R>0$, Theorem 7.3 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2 R}(0)\right)} \leq C e^{5 \delta \tau} \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C$ depending on $R$. Thus, the interior Schauder estimate (cf. [36, Theorem 4.9]) guarantees (6). This completes the proof.

## Appendix A. Estimate of localized Gaussian density ratio

Lemma A.1. There exist $C>0$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$ small with the following significance. Let $a, b \in(0, \delta)$ and let $r>\delta^{-1}$. For any connected $C^{2}$ curve $\Gamma$ in $B_{r}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose $\partial \Gamma \subset \partial B_{r}(0)$ with curvature bound $|\kappa| \leq b r^{-1}$ and $d_{0}=\min \{|x|: x \in \Gamma\}<\delta$, there hold

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r}(0)}\left[1-a^{2}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right]_{+}^{3} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}} d s \leq 1+b
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r}(0)}\left[1-a^{2}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right]_{+}^{3} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4}} d s \geq(1-\sqrt{\delta})(1-C a)\left(1-\frac{d_{0}^{2}}{4}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $\gamma(s)$ be an arclength parametrization of $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma(0)$ is a closest point to the origin. Define $d(s)=|\gamma(s)|$. We first estimate error of localization function. For $0<a<\delta$ is small, there is $C>0$ such that in $B(0,1 / \sqrt{a}) \cap B_{r}(0)$

$$
\left[1-a^{2}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right]_{+}^{3} \geq 1-C a .
$$

Recall that $\partial_{s} d^{2}=2\langle\gamma, \mathbf{t}\rangle$ and $\partial_{s s} d^{2}=2(1+\kappa\langle\gamma, \mathbf{n}\rangle)$. Using $|\kappa| \leq b r^{-1}$ in $B_{r}(0)$, we have

$$
d_{0}^{2}+(1-b) s^{2} \leq d^{2}(s) \leq d_{0}^{2}+(1+b) s^{2} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r}(0)}\left[1-a^{2}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right]_{+}^{3} \exp \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{4}\right) d s \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{d_{0}^{2}}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-b) s^{2}}{4}\right) d s=\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{d_{0}^{2}}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{1-b}} \leq 1+b . \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r}(0)}\left[1-a^{2}\left(|x|^{2}-2\right)\right]_{+}^{3} \exp \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{4}\right) d s \\
& \quad \geq(1-C a) \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{d_{0}^{2}}{4}\right)}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} \int_{-\min (1 / \sqrt{a}, r)}^{\min (1 / \sqrt{a}, r)} \exp \left(-\frac{(1+b) s^{2}}{4}\right) d s \\
& \quad \geq(1-C a) \exp \left(-\frac{d_{0}^{2}}{4}\right)\left(1-\frac{\max (\sqrt{a}, 1 / r)}{\sqrt{1+b}}\right) . \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last inequality, we used the fact that $\exp \left(-s^{2} / 4\right)<-1 / s^{2}$ for sufficiently large $s$. Then, (132) and (133) imply the desired conclusion.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The angle difference is defined as the integral of curvature by the arclength measure along the given finger.

